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Abstract:
Dimethylsulfoniopropionate (DMSP) is an abundant marine organosulfur compound\cite{1} with roles in stress protection\cite{2, 3}, chemotaxis\cite{4}, nutrient and sulfur cycling\cite{5} and, potentially, climate regulation\cite{6, 7}. Marine algae and bacteria are considered significant DMSP producers, but many diverse representatives lack known DMSP synthesis genes/enzymes\cite{8, 9}. Here, new DMSP biosynthesis enzymes were identified that considerably increase the number and diversity of potential DMSP-producing organisms, inferring new and significant global DMSP producers. A novel bifunctional DMSP biosynthesis enzyme, DsyGD, identified in the rhizobacterium *Gynuella sunshinyii*, produces DMSP at levels higher than any other bacterium from methylthiohydroxybutyrate (MTHB) via an N-terminal MTHB S-methyltransferase domain (termed DsyG) and a C-terminal dimethylsulfoniohydroxybutyrate (DMSHB) decarboxylase domain (termed DsyD, which is the first reported enzyme with this activity). DsyGD is also found in some filamentous cyanobacteria, not previously known to produce DMSP. Regulation of DMSP production and *dsyGD* transcription was consistent with their role in osmoprotection. Indeed, cloned *dsyGD* conferred osmotolerance to bacteria deficient in osmolyte production, something not previously demonstrated for any known DMSP synthesis gene, and which could be exploited for biotechnology e.g., engineering salt tolerance. DsyGD characterisation led to identification of phylogenetically distinct DsyG-like proteins, termed DSYE, with MTHB S-methyltransferase activity, in diverse and environmentally abundant *Chlorophyta, Chlorochniophyta, Ochrophyta, Haptophyta* and *Bacillariophyta* algae. These algae comprise a mix of low, high and previously unknown DMSP producers\cite{10}. Algae containing DSYE, particularly bloom-forming *Pelagophyceae* species, which we showed to accumulate medium-high intracellular DMSP levels, were globally more abundant DMSP producers than *Haptophyta, Dinophyta* and *Bacillariophyta* with *DSYB* and/or *TpMMT*. This highlights the potential importance of *Pelagophyceae* and other DSYE containing algae in global DMSP production and sulfur cycling.
Main

Isolated from the rhizosphere of the saltmarsh plant *Carex scabrifolia*, *Gynuella sunshinyii* YC6258 is an unusual Gammaproteobacterium with antifungal activity\[^{11}\] and the potential for diverse natural product synthesis\[^{12, 13}\]. *G. sunshinyii* is also relatively abundant (~0.21%) in the rhizosphere of *Spartina alterniflora*\[^{14}\], an environment known to be rich in DMSP, due to the high amounts this cordgrass produces\[^{15-18}\], and microbial DMSP cycling\[^{19-22}\]. Given *G. sunshinyii* contains a BCCT family transporter likely to import DMSP (with 40% amino acid identity to the *Pseudomonas* sp. J465 DMSP transporter DddT\[^{23}\], Supplementary Table 1), we proposed that *C. scabrifolia* (not previously suspected to produce DMSP) and/or *Spartina* produced DMSP and fed this to *G. sunshinyii* in return for favorable bacterial traits/metabolites, e.g., activity against major fungal pathogens\[^{12, 24-26}\]. Indeed, DMSP was detected in *C. scabrifolia* leaves (6.9±0.49 nmol DMSP g\(^{-1}\) fresh weight) and its rhizosphere (5.5±0.49 nmol DMSP g\(^{-1}\)) (Supplementary Fig. 1). However, *G. sunshinyii* could not use DMSP as a carbon source, catabolise DMSP, nor could it liberate DMS or methanethiol from DMSP, consistent with its genome lacking all known DMSP lyase genes\[^{27-35}\] and the DMSP demethylation gene *dmdA*\[^{36}\]. Interestingly, *G. sunshinyii* was found to produce DMSP when grown in the absence of added organosulfur compounds and at levels (89.4±9.43 pmol µg protein\(^{-1}\) h\(^{-1}\)) higher than any other reported bacterium. This finding quashed the hypothesis that *C. scabrifolia* was feeding *G. sunshinyii* DMSP and we moved to investigate how this Gammaproteobacterium produced DMSP, since its genome lacked all known DMSP synthesis genes.

To predict the DMSP synthetic pathway, *G. sunshinyii* was grown with intermediates from the three known DMSP synthesis pathways (Fig. 1a) and its DMSP levels were monitored. Intermediates of the Met transamination pathway\[^{37, 38}\], 4-methylthio-2-hydroxybutyrate (MTHB) and 4-dimethylsulfonio-2-hydroxybutyrate (DMSHB), significantly enhanced *G. sunshinyii* DMSP production (2 to 30 fold) compared to controls with no added intermediates (Fig. 1b). In contrast, addition of the
Met methylation and decarboxylation pathway intermediates had no significant effect on *G. sunshinyii* DMSP production (Fig. 1b). Furthermore, *G. sunshinyii* cell extracts displayed *in vitro* MTHB S-methylation and DMSHB decarboxylation activities (6.1 and 68.1 pmol DMSP µg protein⁻¹ h⁻¹, respectively). These data indicated that *G. sunshinyii* likely synthesises DMSP via the Met transamination pathway (Fig. 1a).

To identify *G. sunshinyii* DMSP synthesis genes, a genomic library of this Gammaproteobacterium was constructed and screened in *Rhizobium leguminosarum* J391 for MTHB S-methyltransferase (MSM) activity. Since *R. leguminosarum* has DMSHB decarboxylase (DDC) activity, any DMSHB produced through MSM activity would lead to DMSP production[39]. One clone from 3,000 screened (termed pBIO2208) conferred MSM activity and thus, DMSP production (0.58 ± 0.02 pmol DMSP µg protein⁻¹ h⁻¹). pBIO2208 conferred MSM activity (unlike dsyB/DSYB clones[9, 39]) and intriguingly, also DMSHB decarboxylase activity to *E. coli* (0.74 ± 0.08 pmol DMSP µg protein⁻¹ h⁻¹), implying that *G. sunshinyii* contains a gene cluster for DMSP synthesis. The ~30 kb insert in pBIO2208 was sequenced and found to contain only one methyltransferase gene, termed dsyGD, adjacent to a reductase gene, predicted to encode a 4-methylthio-2-oxobutyrate (MTOB) reductase enzyme (Fig. 1a and Fig. 1c).

DsyGD is a 494 amino acid protein with two domains. The N-terminal methyltransferase (PF08241.15) domain, termed DsyG, phylogenetically clusters away from all known S-methyltransferases involved in DMSP synthesis (Fig. 2) and had <33% amino acid identity to TpMMT from *Thalassiosira pseudonana*[40]. The DsyGD C-terminus contained a predicted ureidoglycolate lyase domain (PF04115.15), termed DsyD, likely acting as a DMSHB decarboxylase.

Cloned *G. sunshinyii* dsyGD conferred *in vivo* MSM (177.42 ± 3.23 pmol DMSHB µg protein⁻¹ h⁻¹) and DDC activity (13.81 ± 0.97 pmol DMSP µg protein⁻¹ h⁻¹) when expressed in *E. coli*, and fully restored DMSP production to a *Labrenzia aggregata* LZB033 dsyB- mutant[39] which does not produce DMSP (Table 1). Furthermore, purified recombinant DsyGD (Supplementary Fig. 2a) exhibited *in vitro* S-adenosyl-Met (SAM)-dependent MSM and DDC activity with an optimal temperature of 25°C (Supplementary Fig. 3a) and pH of 7.0 (Supplementary Fig. 3b). DsyGD had *Kₐₘ* values of 22.6 µM and 96.6 µM, and *Kₐₙ* values of 5.0 s⁻¹ and 5.4 s⁻¹, for MTHB and SAM, respectively (Supplementary Fig. 3c, d). DsyGD also had a *Kₐₘ* value of 0.91 mM and a
$K_{cat}$ value of 2.09 s$^{-1}$ for DMSHB at pH 7 and 25°C (Supplementary Fig. 3e). The individual *G. sunshinyii* DsyG (methyltransferase) and DsyD (decarboxylase) domains and the predicted MTOB reductase enzyme did not have the expected activities on MTHB, DMSHB or MTOB, respectively (Fig. 1a), when expressed in *E. coli* or as purified proteins under the conditions tested here. It is possible these specific *G. sunshinyii* DsyG and DsyD domains evolved to require each other. Unfortunately, we could not transform or conjugate plasmids into *G. sunshinyii*, preventing gene mutagenesis. These data support DsyGD as the first bifunctional DMSP synthesis enzyme with two DMSP synthesis specific and sequential enzyme activities in the Met transamination pathway$^{[38]}$, and the first reported enzyme with DMSHB decarboxylase activity. We hypothesise that fusion of these S-methyltransferase and decarboxylase domains into one translational unit allows coordinated expression of enzyme activities to produce DMSP from MTHB, possibly explaining why *G. sunshinyii* accumulated the highest DMSP concentration of any reported DMSP-producing bacteria.

DsyGD was not predicted from any other sequenced genomes, MAGs or transcriptomes at high amino acid identity, thus, its origin is unclear. However, DsyGD proteins with MSM and DDC activity (see Table 1) and only 46% amino acid identity to $^{Gs}$DsyGD (Supplementary Table 2) were encoded from the MAGs of two filamentous cyanobacteria (*Symploca* sp. SIO3E6 and *Oscillatoria* sp. SIO1A7) of the *Oscillatoriales* order (Fig. 1c, Fig. 2; Supplementary Table 2). Interestingly, a single-domain DsyG with MSM activity and ~50% amino acid identity to this domain of $^{Gs}$DsyGD was identified in an *Oscillatoriales* isolate *Zarconia navalis* LEGE 11467$^{[41, 42]}$ (Fig. 1c, Fig. 2; Supplementary Table 2). It is unknown why this single-domain $^{Zn}$DsyG had MSM activity but the truncated $^{Gs}$DsyG did not. *Z. navalis* LEGE 11467, isolated from a subtidal epilithic marine sample$^{[41, 42]}$, lacked *dsyD* but did produce DMSP (0.385 ± 0.069 nmol DMSP mg fresh weight). These DMSP levels were much lower than for *G. sunshinyii* supporting our hypothesis that the double domain DsyGD enzyme was responsible for higher level production. Unlike DsyG, a single domain DsyD was not identified from any sequenced genomes, MAGs or transcriptomes. The proteins most similar to the $^{Gs}$DsyD domain were from *Prymnesium parvum* Texoma1 and *Alexandrium monilatum* CCMP3105, showed only 29.8% amino acid identity to DsyD, and lacked DDC activity (Table 1, Supplementary Table 2, Supplementary Table 3 and Supplementary Fig. 4).
After $^{Zn}$DsyG, the next most homologous proteins to $^{Gs}$DsyG, sharing ~39% amino acid identity to the $^{Gs}$DsyG domain (Supplementary Table 2), were from a Planctomycetaciales bacterium MAG and the red alga Porphyra umbilicalis. These DsyG-like proteins either phylogenetically clustered more closely to TpMMT than $^{Gs}$DsyG ($P. umbilicalis$) or were positioned in-between TpMMT and $^{Gs}$DsyG (Planctomycetaciales bacterium) (Fig. 2). Note, the $P. umbilicalis$ protein, like $^{Gs}$DsyGD, contained two domains, but its C-terminal domain belonged to the aspartate decarboxylase protein family (pfam02261), which seemed a good candidate DMSHB decarboxylase as a DsyD isoform enzyme. Despite these facts both the Planctomycetaciales and the $P. umbilicalis$ DsyG-like proteins lacked MSM and DDC activity (Table 1). Note, there were no proteins with strong homology (>38% identity) to DsyG predicted from the genomes and/or transcriptomes of eukaryotic algae. Overall, these data support $d$syGD/$d$syG as being a reporter gene for DMSP synthesis in bacteria and filamentous cyanobacteria, not previously suspected to produce DMSP, and that $Z. navalis$ likely contained a novel DMSHB decarboxylase. The data also provide further warning of the need for careful functional analysis of DMSP synthesis genes/enzymes before predicting DMSP synthesis in organisms based on their presence. This is particularly relevant for TpMMT which has only been ratified from Thalassiosira pseudonana$^{[40]}$.

To infer the role of DMSP in $G. sunshinyii$ and $Z. navalis$ with DsyGD/DsyG we studied the production of DMSP and $^{Gs}d$syGD/$^{Zn}d$syG transcription under different growth conditions (Fig. 3a, Supplementary Fig. 5, Supplementary Fig. 6a, c, d). DMSP may not be an important cryoprotectant in $G. sunshinyii$ since DMSP production and $d$syGD transcription were not upregulated by growth under low temperature. However, these bacteria also produced the nitrogenous osmolyte glycine betaine (GB), which was more likely used as a cryoprotectant in $G. sunshinyii$ since its production was upregulated by cold temperatures (Supplementary Fig. 5a, b, Supplementary Fig. 6a, b).

Organisms with DsyGD/DsyG likely produce DMSP as an osmolyte, especially when nitrogen is in short supply, as it is in most surface marine waters$^{[43]}$. DMSP production and/or $^{Gs}d$syGD/$^{Zn}d$syG gene transcription was significantly upregulated by growth under increased salinity and low nitrogen conditions in both $G. sunshinyii$ (Fig. 3a and Supplementary Fig. 5) and $Z. navalis$ (Supplementary Fig. 6). These data are
consistent with findings on other DMSP-producing organisms\cite{8, 9}, where sulfur osmolyte production over nitrogen-containing equivalents was proposed to be advantageous in sulfur rich but low nitrogen marine settings. Note, DMSP production also releases nitrogen from the Met transamination of methionine (Fig. 1). GB production in *G. sunshinyii* and *Z. navalis* was also enhanced by increased salinity (Supplementary Fig. 5b, Supplementary Fig. 6a, b) and was likely a major osmolyte, since it was found at higher concentrations than DMSP. An important exception to this rule was in low nitrogen conditions where GB and DMSP levels were more similar and the potential importance of DMSP was enhanced (Supplementary Fig. 5b, Supplementary Fig. 6a, b, c).

Further supporting the role of DMSP and DsyGD in osmoprotection, cloned $^{G.}$dsyGD significantly enhanced the growth of an osmosensitive *E. coli* strain FF4169\cite{44} under increased salinity in the presence of MTHB (which has limited osmoprotective properties\cite{38}) or, especially, DMSHB, compared to control strains lacking cloned dsyGD (Fig. 3b). This osmoprotection phenotype was likely due to the DMSP produced from MTHB and DMSHB ($5.49 \pm 0.99$ and $10.13 \pm 0.63$ pmol DMSP µg protein$^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$, respectively), since *E. coli* strain FF4169 lacking cloned $^{G.}$dsyGD produced no DMSP from MTHB or DMSHB (Fig. 3c). This is the first demonstration of any known DMSP synthesis genes conferring osmoprotection.

Although no DsyGD proteins were predicted in eukaryotic algae, we did identify single domain DsyG-like proteins with $< 38\%$ amino acid identity to $^{G.}$DsyG from sequenced algal genomes (*Fragilariopsis cylindrus* CCMP1102 and *Nitzschia inconspicua* strain hildebrandi) and the Marine Microbial Eukaryote Transcriptome Sequencing Project (MMETSP) database\cite{45}. Furthermore, 61 DsyG-like proteins were predicted from the transcriptomes of 397 different marine eukaryotes in the MMETSP (Supplementary Table 4). These algal proteins, termed DSYE for eukaryotes, were phylogenetically distinct to DsyG and were themselves separated into five separate clades (termed DSYE clade A-E) (Fig. 2). Multiple representative DSYE proteins of the five clades were expressed in *E. coli* and all showed MSM activity (Table 1; Fig. 2).

Clade A DSYE proteins were predicted in *Chloroarachniophyta*, notably
**Bigeloviella natans**, known to produce high levels of DMSP\(^{[10]}\), and **Norrisiella spp.**, not previously known to produce DMSP, but whose Clade A DSYE from *N. sphaerica* BC52 was shown to have MSM activity (Fig. 2; Supplementary Fig. 7, Table 1).

Clade B DSYE proteins were identified from many diverse and highly abundant *Chlorophyta* algae, comprising a mix of high and low DMSP producers, including *Tetraselmis* sp.\(^{[46]}\), *Pyraminonas* sp.\(^{[46]}\), *Bathyccocus* sp.\(^{[47]}\) and *Mantoniella* sp.\(^{[46]}\), known to accumulate low levels of DMSP, while *Micromonas* sp. contain both high and low DMSP-producing representatives\(^{[46, 47]}\) (Fig. 2; Supplementary Fig. 7; Supplementary Table 4). Clade B DSYE proteins were ratified from *Tetraselmis striata* (Fig. 2) and also from *Ostreococcus* sp. (*O. prasinos* and *O. tauri*), a highly abundant and widely distributed genus in Earth’s oceans\(^{[48]}\) not previously shown to produce DMSP (Fig. 2; Table 1; Supplementary Table 4). Consistent with this work, *O. tauri* cells were found to contain DMSP (0.34 nmol DMSP ug protein\(^{-1}\)) (Table 1; Supplementary Table 5).

Clade C DSYE proteins were mostly identified in *Pelagophyte* algae, e.g. *Pelagococcus* sp., such as *P. subviridis* CCMP1429, which had a functional DSYE and also contained DSYB\(^{[9]}\), and *Pelagomonas* spp., both thought to produce low levels of DMSP\(^{[10, 46, 47]}\) (Fig. 2; Supplementary Fig. 7). Since very few *Pelagophyte* algae have been tested for DMSP production, we examined diverse axenic cultures of *Chrysoerythra*, *Aureococcus*, *Pelagococcus*, *Chrysoreinhardia* and *Pelagomonas* for this ability. These abundant picoeukaryotes, which are bloom-forming and sometimes toxin-producing\(^{[49-51]}\), accumulated cellular DMSP to an average concentration of 85 mM (13.79 – 233.81 mM, Supplementary Table 5). Thus, it is possible that these picoeukaryotes, e.g. *P. calceolate*, amongst the most abundant eukaryotic species in the oceans\(^{[52]}\), are important sources of DMSP in Earth’s oceans.

**Haptophyta** are generally thought to produce high levels of DMSP and contain DSYB\(^{[9, 53]}\). *Pavlova* spp. and *Exanthemachysis* spp. are exceptions that lack DSYB but which contain a functional Clade D DSYE (Fig. 2; Supplementary Fig. 7, Supplementary
Table 4). Most *Pavlova* spp. are also high DMSP producers but some, e.g., *P. lutheri*, are considered low DMSP producers, as are all tested *Exanthemachysis* spp. [10].

Clade E DSYE proteins were exclusively in diatoms, none of which contained TpMMT, although some did contain *DSYB*, e.g. *Fragilariaopsis cylindrus* CCMP1102 and *Pseudonitzschia fraudulenta* WWA7, whilst others, e.g., *Nitzschia inconspicua* [9], contained only *DSYE*. DSYE from *F. cylindrus* and *N. inconspicua* were both shown to be functional. Most diatoms produce low intracellular levels of DMSP [46, 47].

The identification of *DSYE* with that of *DSYB*, TpMMT in algae and *dsyGD*, *dsyG*, *dsyB* and *mmtN* in diverse bacteria has greatly expanded our ability to predict which organisms, particularly algae, can produce DMSP (Fig. 2; Supplementary Fig. 7, Supplementary Table 4). With inclusion of *DSYE*, 66% of the predicted 162 DMSP-producing eukaryotes [10] studied within MMETSP expressed a known *S*-methyltransferase gene involved in DMSP synthesis, an increase from 44% when considering only *DSYB* and TpMMT (Supplementary Table 4). Most of the remaining candidate DMSP producers on MMETSP which lacked *DSYE*, *DSYB* or TpMMT were predicted to be low DMSP producers or had not been tested (Supplementary Table 4).

Outside of MMETSP data, there are still known DMSP-producing organisms which lack these *S*-methyltransferase genes, but their numbers are now reduced and are mainly confined to plants such as *Spartina* spp. and *Melanthera biflora* that utilize the Met methylation pathway for DMSP synthesis [21, 54, 55], macroalgae, such as *Ulva* spp., and cyanobacteria such as *Trichodesmium* that produce low levels of DMSP [56] (Supplementary Fig. 7).

A significant question left unanswered was whether the presence of known DMSP synthesis genes in an organism can imply more than just their potential to produce DMSP, for example, can they be used to predict how much DMSP they make? McParland *et al.* suggested that the presence of *DSYB* or TpMMT in algae was an indicator of high or low level DMSP production, respectively [53]. Certainly, this is an appealing hypothesis and there was a strong correlation of *DSYB* in high DMSP
producers (Supplementary Table 4)[9, 10, 53]. However, it is difficult to infer any such reverse scenario with TpMMT because this protein has only been studied in *Thalassiosira pseudonana*[40]. Further work on the TpMMT family is necessary to inform such hypotheses especially considering the functional data presented here (Fig. 2). However, all proteins with high homology to *T. pseudonana* TpMMT were from diatoms, predicted to be low DMSP producers (Supplementary Table 4), consistent with [10]. Considering *DSYE* was found in a mix of organisms predicted to be both low and high DMSP producers[10], it would be difficult to predict an organism’s DMSP production level based on the occurrence of this gene (Supplementary Table 4), as substantiated by the varied DMSP levels seen in Pelagophyte algae with *DSYE*. Furthermore, representative Clade E DSYE from organisms producing lower DMSP levels (e.g. *Nitzschia inconspicua* and *Fragilariopsis cylindrus*) showed similar levels of MSM activity to Clade A and D DSYE from predicted high DMSP producers (e.g., *Bigelowiella natans* and *Pavlova* sp. CCMP459) (Supplementary Table 4). It is also worth noting that bacterial DsyB is as efficient an enzyme as algal DSYB, despite bacteria generally producing low intracellular levels of DMSP[9, 39, 57], and that there are many examples of organisms with *DSYB* that are considered low DMSP producers (e.g., *F. cylindrus*, *Chrysochromulina tobin*). For these reasons and as [8, 9, 39, 40] shows, we propose that DMSP synthesis gene transcript and to a greater extent, protein levels, are more robust indicators of an organism’s potential DMSP levels than which DMSP synthesis gene/s it contains, since it is these expression levels that are guided by varying environmental conditions, e.g., nitrogen and salinity levels, and govern DMSP synthesis potential along with substrate availability.

Irrespective of whether one can infer high or low DMSP production according to an organism’s genotype, it was possible to obtain a better understanding of the global distribution and significance of *DsyG/GD* and *DSYE* compared to *DsyB*, *DSYB*, *MmtN* and *TpMMT* genes in marine waters by examining their abundance and expression profiles in Tara Oceans datasets[58]. Initially, the ocean microbial reference gene catalogue (OM-RGC) metagenomic dataset, generated from samples fractionated to < 3 μm[59] and apportioned to bacterioplankton was analyzed. As previously described[8],
alphaproteobacterial dysB and its transcripts were far more abundant than those for mmtN in Earth’s oceans, and these dsyB genes/transcripts were >2-fold more abundant in the surface (SRF) and deep chlorophyll maximum (DCM) than in mesopelagic (MES) waters (Fig. 4; Supplementary Table 6). dsyGD/dsyG genes and transcripts were not detected in any OMRGC sample, consistent with this system being largely irrelevant to marine DMSP cycling or that some species, notably filamentous cyanobacteria containing these genes, aggregated and were not captured by the bacterioplankton sampling methods. However, eukaryotic clade B DSYE genes and their transcripts from Chlorophyta algae (picoeukaryotes including Pyramimonas, Pterosperma, Ostreococcus, Micromonas and Tetraselmis), small enough to be in the bacterioplankton samples, were present in almost all stations, at similar to 2-fold lower levels than dsyB (normalized to recA for comparison) in SRF and DCM samples (Supplementary Fig. 8). Here, we estimated that ~6% of the picoeukaryotes in these SRF and DCM samples contained DSYE. DSYE and its transcripts were barely detected in MES samples consistent with the phototrophic lifestyle of their algal hosts (Supplementary Table 6; Fig. 4). The dsyB and DSYE genes and transcripts in OMRGC were most abundant in high latitude polar samples, with a few exceptions, notably, maximal dsyB abundance was seen in a mid-latitude DCM sample (Supplementary Fig. 8).

Within the eukaryotic Marine Atlas of Tara Ocean Unigenes (MATOU), algal DMSP synthesis genes and transcripts were also barely detected in data from MES but were much better represented in the SRF and DCM samples, consistent with their being in phototrophs (Supplementary Table 7). Although DSYB genes, mostly from Haptophyta and Dinophyta were detected in all stations, DSYE genes, predominantly from Pelagophyceae (clade C) and to a lesser extent, Chlorophyta (clade B), were marginally and ~2-fold more abundant in the photic SRF and DCM samples, respectively (Fig. 4 and Supplementary Table 6). The DSYB and DSYE genes showed similar biogeographical distribution patterns in MATOU stations, being concentrated in non-polar sites between -50 to 50 latitude (Fig. 4). Unlike Haptophyta and Dinophyta, few Pelagophyte algae had been studied for DMSP production despite their general ability to form large blooms and significant global abundance[60,61], thus, they were not generally thought to be globally important DMSP producers. We now know these DSYE-containing algae can produce high intracellular DMSP levels.
In contrast to the metagenomic data, DSYB transcripts were ~2-fold higher than those for DSYE in SRF and DCM MATOU data (Fig. 4 and Supplementary Table 6) and this may be a better indication of DMSP production than gene abundance. Diatom TpMMT and their transcripts were generally 1-2 orders of magnitude less abundant than those for algal DSYB or DSYE (Fig. 4 and Supplementary Table 6). This bioinformatic analysis is consistent with previous reports of Haptophyta and Dinophyta[9], but also now, of Pelagophyte algae being important global producers of DMSP, with most diatoms having a less prominent role. Further environmental sampling work on Pelagophyte algae is required to explore their importance in global DMSP cycling, especially during blooms where they are likely to have a more considerable impact.

Discussion

DMSP is an abundant and ecologically important marine organosulfur compound. This study identified the novel and unusual DMSP synthesis genes dsyGD/dsyG in the rhizobacterium G. sunshinyii and filamentous cyanobacteria, never suspected to produce DMSP, and provided evidence for DMSP being an osmolyte in these organisms. It also facilitated identification of the DsyG-like and environmentally important DSYE gene in diverse eukaryotic algae, which together greatly enhanced our understanding of which organisms produced DMSP in Earth’s oceans and how they did so.

DsyGD is the first reported bifunctional DMSP synthesis enzyme with two distinct domains that sequentially catalyse the last two DMSP synthesis-specific steps of the Met transamination pathway. The origin and transfer of dsyGD and dsyG between organisms was potentially interesting but difficult to address because these genes were rare in sequenced organisms and environmental samples. The DsyG domain was most closely related to the diatom TpMMT MTHB S-methyltransferase but was phylogenetically distinct to this and any other isoform enzymes (Fig. 2). Furthermore, there were proteins lacking MSM activity phylogenetically placed in between TpMMT and DsyG, indicating it is a new family of MTHB S-methyltransferases.

Functional genomics identified DSYE, a new family of eukaryotic enzymes with MSM activity which were phylogenetically distinct from DsyG and the other known MTHB S-methyltransferases. The DSYE family was diverse and separated into five clades (A-E), each comprising taxonomically distinct DMSP-producing eukaryotic
algae. Algae with *DSYE* comprise an eclectic mix of low, medium and high DMSP producers, and algae that had not previously been reported to produce DMSP, e.g. *Ostreococcus tauri* and multiple *Pelagophyte* algae. *DSYE*, with *DSYB* and *TpMMT*, serve as reporter genes of DMSP synthesis, and their combined presence in most known DMSP-producing algae with available transcriptomic/genomic data, allows more comprehensive predictions of key algal producers in marine environments with available ‘omics data. Given the level of conflicting high and low DMSP levels reported for algae even from the same genera (Supplementary Table 4), it may be inappropriate to use the presence of *DSYE*, and perhaps *DSYB* and *TpMMT*, as anything more than an indicator of DMSP production. However, the DMSP synthesis gene transcript and/or protein levels from organisms and environments are likely a more robust indicator of the process, as highlighted in [9].

The *dsyGD/dsyG* and *DSYE* genes were at the different ends of the spectrum for their perceived importance in marine environments. Bacteria with *dsyGD/dsyG* were not detected in any TARA metagenomic or metatranscriptomic data, consistent with them having a negligible role in marine DMSP production. Furthermore, *dsyGD/dsyG* could not be detected in metagenomic data from *Spartina* rhizosphere samples in which *G. sunshinyii* was present\[^{14}\], suggesting that *dsyGD* may not even be universal in this species. In contrast, *DSYE* genes, particularly from *Pelagophyte* and *Chlorophyta* algae were more abundant than *DSYB* from *Haptophyta* and *Dinophyta* and orders of magnitude more abundant than *TpMMT* from diatoms in Earth’s surface waters. However, *DSYE* transcripts were ~2-fold less abundant than for *DSYB* in these samples, which is likely a better indicator of DMSP production. Even with these reduced transcript levels, *Pelagophyte* and *Chlorophyta* algae with *DSYE* should be considered as potentially globally important DMSP producers, especially given many of these algae are known to form large blooms and were shown here to produce medium to high levels of DMSP. Further environmental focused work on these algae is vital because they have not received the same attention from DMSP biologists as e.g., *Haptophyta* and *Dinophyta* algae\[^{62, 63}\].

Assuming that the known S-methyltransferase genes in microbial DMSP synthesis pathways were the major isoforms, which our analysis of algal transcriptomes implied they were, it was puzzling as to why these genes and their transcripts were not more
abundant in marine systems. This is an especially relevant question considering the
ubiquity of DMSP and DMSP\textsuperscript{[57]} catabolic genes/transcripts, e.g., $dddP$, predicted to be
in 17.5\% and 2.0\% of SRF marine bacteria, respectively\textsuperscript{[64]}. There are still many
DMSP-producers that lack known DMSP synthesis genes, e.g., DMSP-producing
plants, macroalgae such as $Ulva$ spp., cyanobacteria such as $Trichodesmium$ and
$Synechococcus$\textsuperscript{[64]}, and other bacteria, like $Marinobacter$ sp.\textsuperscript{[8]}, but these are not
expected to be major DMSP producers on the same scale as $Haptophyta$ and $Dinophyta$
algae for example. It is possible that these phototrophs contain other unidentified
isoform MTHB S-methyltransferases or DMSP synthesis pathways with novel enzymes.
This has been proposed for the $Dinophyta$ $Cryptocodinium$ $cohnii$, which has multiple
$DSYB$ copies\textsuperscript{[9]} and is thought to utilize a Met decarboxylation pathway for which no
genes/enzymes are known. Finally, it is also possible that the DMSP synthesis gene
products are more abundant and active than their gene and transcript abundance implies.
Further molecular work is required on model marine organisms to address these
important questions, combined with more comprehensive environmental quantification
of DMSP stocks and synthesis rates, and of DMSP biosynthetic enzyme abundance.

Materials and Methods

Strains, plasmids and culture conditions

Strains, plasmids and primers used in this study are detailed in Supplementary Tables
8, 9 and 10 respectively. $G$. $sunshinyii$ YC6258, the $L$. $aggregata$ $dysB$\textsuperscript{−} mutant strain
and $Ruegeria$ $pomeroyi$ DSS-3 were grown in yeast tryptone sea salt (YTSS)\textsuperscript{[65]} media
or MBM minimal medium (with 10 mM succinate as carbon source and 10 mM NH$_4$Cl
as nitrogen source) at 28\°C. Where indicated, the salinity of MBM was adjusted by
altering the amount of sea salts (Sigma-Aldrich), and nitrogen levels were altered by
adding varying amounts of NH$_4$Cl. $Z$. $navalis$ LEGE 11467 was grown in BG-11
medium\textsuperscript{[66]} at 25\°C. $E$. $coli$ strains were grown in Luria-Bertani (LB) complete medium
or M9 minimal medium at 37\°C. $R$. $leguminosarum$ J391 was grown in tryptone yeast
(TY)\textsuperscript{[67]} or RM media\textsuperscript{[67]} at 28\°C. All liquid cultures were grown with shaking at 180-
200 rpm unless otherwise specified. Where necessary, the following antibiotics were
added to media at the final concentrations: ampicillin (100 $\mu$g mL$^{-1}$), streptomycin (400
$\mu$g mL$^{-1}$), kanamycin (20 $\mu$g mL$^{-1}$), rifampicin (20 $\mu$g mL$^{-1}$), tetracycline (10 $\mu$g mL$^{-1}$)
and gentamicin (20 $\mu$g mL$^{-1}$ in general or 80 $\mu$g mL$^{-1}$ for pLMB509 complementation
in *L. aggregata* *dsyB* mutants).

**Analysis of DMSP in *C. scabrifolia***

Plant and rhizosphere soil were obtained in a saltern area in Shandong Province, China (120.7°E, 36.5°N). *C. scabrifolia* plants were carefully uprooted and placed into sterile plastic bags. Plant material was washed to remove sediment and then separated into different tissue types (roots and leaves) using ethanol sterilized scissors and tweezers. The rhizosphere of *C. scabrifolia* were sampled by washing 5 g of roots as in [67]. To measure DMSP content, different samples were prepared as in [67]. DMSP was assayed by gas chromatography (GC) as described below.

**G. sunshinyii YC6258 DMSP cycling gene analysis**

The *G. sunshinyii* YC6258 genome sequence and protein annotation data were downloaded from NCBI (PRJNA233633) and interrogated for the presence of DMSP synthesis cycling gene/proteins. *G. sunshinyii* DMSP cycling genes/proteins were predicted using local BLAST, with ratified DMSP cycling genes/proteins as probes (Supplementary table 11) and thresholds of E-value ≤1×10⁻⁵, amino acid identity ≥40% and coverage ≥70%.

**Quantification of DMS, DMSHB and DMSP by GC**

All GC assays involved measurement of headspace DMS, either directly produced or produced through alkaline lysis of DMSP and/or DMSHB using a flame photometric detector (Agilent 7890A GC fitted with a 7693 autosampler) and a HP-INNOWax 30 m×0.320 mm capillary column (Agilent Technologies, J&W Scientific) as in Curson *et al.*[39]. Unless otherwise stated, all GC measurements for DMSP and/or DMSHB were performed using 2 mL glass vials containing 200 µL liquid samples and 100 µL of 10M NaOH sealed with PTFE/rubber crimp caps, followed by incubation overnight at 28 °C, allowing DMS to accumulate in the headspace. DMS chemically released from DMSP for use in a calibration curve[39], and DMSHB was synthesized as described in Curson *et al.*[39]. The detection limit for headspace DMS from DMSP was 0.015 nmol in water/media and 0.15 nmol in methanol, and from DMSHB was 0.3 nmol in water/media.
DMSP synthesis in *G. sunshinyii*

To study the DMSP synthesis pathway in *G. sunshinyii* YC6258 cells, cultures were incubated overnight in YTSS liquid medium, adjusted to equal optical densities (OD$_{600}$ = 0.3), washed three times with 35 PSU MBM minimal medium, then diluted 1:100 into 5 mL 35 PSU MBM medium (with 10 mM succinate as carbon source, 10 mM NH$_4$Cl as nitrogen source) with or without (control) different DMSP synthesis intermediates (0.5 mM Met, MTOB, MTHB, DMSHB, 3-methylthiopropylamine (MTPA), methylmercaptopropionate (MMPA)) and incubated for 24 h at 30°C. Three biological replicates were prepared for each condition and DMSP production activities were normalized to protein concentrations determined using the Bradford method (Quick Start™ Bradford, USA, BioRad), as in Curson *et al*.[39]. A student's t-test ($p < 0.05$) was used to identify significant differences in DMSP production. Error bars represent standard deviation ($n = 3$).

To quantify *in vitro* MSM and DMSHB decarboxylation (DDC) activities in *G. sunshinyii* YC6258, this bacterium was first cultured in triplicate overnight in 5 mL YTSS medium at 30°C, harvested by centrifugation at 20,000 g for 5 min, washed 3 times with 1 ml 50 mM Tris-HCl buffer (pH 7.5), and then resuspended in 1 ml 50 mM Tris-HCl buffer. To generate cell lysates, cells were sonicated (3×10 s) on ice using a Markson GE50 Ultrasonic Processor set to an output of 70, then centrifuged at 20,000 g for 5 min to pellet the debris, and the lysate was removed and used. The lysates were dialysed to remove any pre-existing metabolites, using dialysis tubing (3,500 Da molecular weight cut-off; Spectrum Labs) in 2 L of dialysis buffer (20 mM HEPES, 150 mM NaCl, pH 7.5) at 4°C overnight.[8] 200 µl of cell-free extracts alone (control), or extract supplemented with 1 mM MTHB (Sigma) and 1 mM SAM (New England Biolabs) or just 1 mM DMSHB (synthesized as in [39]), were added to GC vials and incubated at 28°C for 30 min. After incubation, assays were immediately mixed with 100 µL 10 M NaOH and assayed for DMSHB and/or DMSP by GC, as above.

**Identification of *G. sunshinyii* YC6258 dsyGD**

A genomic library of *G. sunshinyii* YC6258 was constructed as described in Curson *et al*.[33]. Briefly, high quality *G. sunshinyii* YC6258 genomic DNA was partially digested with *EcoRI*, and then ligated into *EcoRI*-digested and dephosphorylated pHAFR3[68]. The ligation mix was packaged and transfected into *E. coli* strain 803. The library
comprising 90,000 clones was transferred \textit{en masse} into the heterologous host \textit{R. leguminosarum} J391 by conjugation using the helper plasmid pRK2013 (in \textit{E. coli} 803) and transconjugants were selected on TY media containing streptomycin and tetracycline. Transconjugants were picked to 200 µL RM medium containing 0.5 mM MTHB in 2 mL GC vials and incubated at 30°C for 48 h. 100 µL of 10 M NaOH was then added to each of the vials, which were sealed and assayed for DMSHB and DMSP by GC analysis, as above. DMSHB plus DMSP levels in the headspace were normalised to protein levels, as above. \textit{R. leguminosarum} J391 with empty pLAFR3 vector and media only, with and without MTHB substrate, were used as controls.

Heterologous expression, \textit{in vivo} assays and purification of \textit{G. sunshinyii} YC6258 proteins.

Full-length \textit{G. sunshinyii} YC6258 dsyGD (including the \textit{dsyG} methyltransferase and \textit{dsyD} decarboxylase domain), the separate \textit{dsyG} methyltransferase and \textit{dsyD} decarboxylase gene domains, and the putative reductase gene were PCR-amplified and cloned into pET-22b (Supplementary table 10). All clones were ratified by sequencing. The pET-22b clones were transformed into \textit{E. coli} BL21 (DE3), cultured in LB medium containing ampicillin at 37°C to an OD$_{600}$ of 0.8–1.0, and then induced at 18°C for 14 h with 0.4 mM IPTG. These cells were either incubated with 0.5 mM MTHB (for cloned \textit{dsyGD} and \textit{dsyG}), DMSHB (for cloned \textit{dsyGD} and \textit{dsyD}) or 0.5 mM MTOB (for the cloned reductase) and assayed for \textit{in vivo} MSM and DDC activity assays by GC, as above, and MR activity as in \cite{8}, or without for control experiments and for protein purification work. For the latter, cells were harvested by centrifugation (20 min, 7,500 g, 4°C), washed, and resuspended in 25 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 150 mM NaCl. The overexpressed recombinant proteins were initially purified by Ni$^{2+}$-NTA affinity chromatography (GE healthcare, America), and then further isolated by gel filtration on a Superdex200 column (Cytiva), as described in \cite{57}. Purified protein (Supplementary Fig. 2) was flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80°C until required.

\textit{in vitro} MSM, DDC and MTOB reductase enzyme assays

Where appropriate, recombinant \textit{G. sunshinyii} YC6258 DsyGD and individual DsyG, DsyD and reductase domain proteins were assayed for MSM, DDC and MR activity as in \cite{8}. For \textit{in vitro} MSM activity, 5-1000 µM MTHB and 10-1000 µM SAM and 0.1 µM
purified DsyGD/DsyG were mixed in a total volume of 100 μL reaction buffer containing 100 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.0) and incubated at 25°C for 10 min in triplicate. 15 μL of 20% HCl was added to stop the reactions. Reaction buffers with no enzymes added were used as negative controls in triplicate. MSM activity was measured by detecting production of S-adenosyl-homocysteine (SAH) from demethylation of SAM via ultraviolet absorbance measurements by HPLC (Ultimate 3000, Dionex and LC-20AT, Shimadzu) on a SunFire C18 column (Waters) with a linear gradient of 1–20% acetonitrile in 50 mM ammonium acetate (pH 5.5) over 24 min at 260 nm, as described in [32].

For in vitro DDC activity, 0.5-3 mM DMSHB and 0.1 μM purified DsyGD and DsyD proteins were mixed in a total volume of 100 μL with reaction buffer (100 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.0)), before incubation at 25°C for 10 min in triplicate. 15 μL of 20% HCl was added to stop the reaction. in vitro DDC activity of DsyGD and DsyD was monitored via the HPLC detection of acrylate (as for SAH, above) produced from the alkaline hydrolysis of the DMSP reaction product[69, 70].

The optimal temperature of DsyGD was determined by incubating the reaction mixture at 10, 15, 20, 25, 30, 40, 50 and 60°C with MTHB and monitoring MSM activity. The optimal pH of DsyGD was determined by incubating the reaction mixture with MTHB in Britton-Robinson buffer at 25°C at pH 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, and 10, as performed in [71] and assaying MSM activity. The kinetic parameters of DsyGD for MSM and DDC activity were determined by nonlinear analysis based on the initial rates determined with 5-1000 μM MTHB, 0-250 μM SAM or 500-3000 μM DMSHB at the optimal temperature and pH, as described in [8].

For in vitro MR activity 1 mM MTOB was added to 0.25 mM NADPH and incubated at 30 °C in triplicate. The reaction was started by addition of 1 μM purified reductase enzyme in a total volume of 2 mL reaction buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0) and the reaction mixture without reductase was used as negative controls. MR activity was monitored by NADPH reduction at 340 nm using a V550 UV/VIS spectrophotometer (Jasco, Japan) at 0, 15 and 180 minutes after enzyme addition.

RNA isolation and reverse transcription quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT–qPCR) work with G. sunshinyii

G. sunshinyii YC6258 was inoculated in 5 mL YTSS media incubated with shaking at
30°C for overnight, then adjusted to equal optical densities (OD_{600} = 0.8), as described in \cite{39}. Three biological replicates were then grown under standard conditions (salinity at 35 PSU, 10 mM NH_{4}Cl, 30°C) or a range of stress conditions including: low salt/low nitrogen (5 PSU, 0.5 mM NH_{4}Cl), low nitrogen (35 PSU, 0.5 mM NH_{4}Cl), low temperature (35 PSU, 10 mM NH_{4}Cl, 16°C), high salt/low nitrogen (50 PSU, 0.5 mM NH_{4}Cl) and high salt (50 PSU, 10 mM NH_{4}Cl). Sampling was performed in exponential-phase (OD_{600} of ~0.5). RNA was isolated according to the RNeasy Mini Kit protocol (Qiagen, Germany) and quantified using a Qubit 3.0 Fluorometer and Qubit RNA HS Assay Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific). 1 µg of DNA-free RNA was used for reverse transcription using a QuantiTect Reverse Transcription Kit (Qiagen, Germany). No reverse transcriptase and no template controls were performed.

Primers for RT-qPCR for *G. sunshinyii* YC6258 dsyGD and control housekeeping genes recA and rpoD were designed by primer premier 6 and synthesized by Eurofins Genomics (Supplementary Table 10). The optimum primer melting temperature was 60°C. Primer GC content was between 40% and 60% and primer efficiencies were all 90–110% and within recommended limits. Three technical replicates were performed for each sample. RT-qPCR was performed as in Curson *et al.*\cite{39}. Reactions (20 µL) were performed with an annealing/elongation temperature of 60°C. Standard curves were included in each run to calculate the reaction efficiency (five points in 1:10 dilutions starting from 100 ng gDNA and water only as negative control). Analysis of the post-run melt curves was also performed. For each condition and gene, the cycle threshold (Ct) values of the technical and biological replicates were averaged. The rpoD and recA Ct values and efficiencies were then averaged and the relative expression ratio was calculated\cite{72} and expressed as normalized fold change relative to the standard conditions.

Quantification of DMSP and glycine betaine by Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR)

Triplicate 5 mL cultures of *G. sunshinyii* YC6258 grown in MBM media under different stress conditions (see above), were pelleted at 12,000 g for 10 min and resuspended in 445 µL of deuterium oxide (D_{2}O, Sigma-Aldrich). The cells were then lysed by 3 × 20
see sonication rounds using a Markson GE50 Ultrasonic Processor, followed by centrifugation at 12,000 g for 10 min. 5 μL of pyrazine (Sigma-Aldrich; 50 mM final concentration) was added to the clarified samples as an internal NMR standard, mixed, and 445 μL was transferred to 5 mm NMR tubes. NMR experiments were performed at 298 K on a Bruker 500 MHz spectrometer with an auto-sampler. The pulse sequence used incorporated a double echo excitation sculpting component for water suppression (Bruker library zgesgp) to remove the residual water coming from the original culture. Each sample was run with the number of scans at 128 and the relaxation delay d1 was 1 s.

All spectra were phased, base-corrected and calibrated for the pyrazine peak at 8.63975 ppm. The chemical shift of the methyl groups of GB ((CH₃)₃N) and DMSP ((CH₃)₂S) were, respectively, 3.256 ppm and 2.913 ppm at 298 K. The final concentration of the analytes GB and DMSP was obtained by calculating the ratio of the absolute integral of pyrazine (accounting for 4 protons) with the methyl peaks of GB and DMSP (accounting for 9 protons and 6 protons respectively). These ratios were then multiplied by i) the correction factor derived from the calibration curves and ii) the dilution factor of the samples from the original culture to the final NMR sample. The calibration curve correction factor was 2.963 and 2.719 for GB and DMSP, respectively. The dilution factor was 0.1125, accounting for the dilution from 4 mL to 0.45 mL. Calibration curves were obtained for GB and DMSP at 0.2 mM, 0.4 mM, 0.8 mM and 1.6 mM in the presence of 1 mM pyrazine. For each sample, a zgesgp at d1=1 s was recorded, and the data were plotted to obtain the correction factor. For both curves, the R² was 0.99.

Salinity tolerance experiments in E. coli strains

E. coli strain MC4100 and its salt sensitive derivative FF4169 with a △otsA mutation in the trehalose-6-phosphate synthase gene that renders it unable to produce the osmolyte trehalose[44], were used to study salt tolerance conferred by cloned GsdsyGD. The GsdsyGD gene with promoter region was PCR amplified and cloned into the pUCm-T vector and transformed into E. coli FF4169. Starter cultures of MC4100, FF4169 and
FF4169 with the \textit{dsyGD} clone plasmid (FF4169: pUCm-T: \textit{dsyGD}) were grown in LB medium overnight (in triplicate). All starter cultures were adjusted to OD$_{600}$ = 0.3 and washed twice with M63 medium lacking NaCl and sulfur followed by resuspension in 1 mL M63 medium as described in\cite{38}. The suspensions were diluted 1:100 in new M63 medium (22 mM D-glucose as carbon source, 1 mM MgSO$_4$ as sulfur source) with high salinity (0.5 M NaCl) and either DMSP, GB, MTHB or DMSHB (all at 1 mM final concentration) as substrates. IPTG was added at a final concentration of 0.1 mM to induce the expression of pUCm-T: \textit{dsyGD} in FF4169. All cultures were grown at 37$^\circ$C with continuous shaking and OD$_{600nm}$ was monitored using a plate reader (Thermo Scientific, Multiskan GO) every 1 h until stationary phase. DMSP production was assayed at the end of each experiment to confirm production of DMSP.

Identification and characterization of DsyGD, DsyG and DsyD homologues

\textit{G.}DsyGD was used in a BLASTp search (cutoff e-value at 1e$^{-55}$) against the NCBI database to identify homologous proteins with 38-50\% amino acid identity to full length \textit{G.}DsyGD or either of the individual \textit{G.}DsyG and 29.8\% to the \textit{G.}DsyD domains. \textit{E. coli} codon-optimized genes, corresponding to: DsyGD homologues in \textit{Symplaca} sp. SIO3E6, \textit{Oscillatoria} sp. SIO1A7; a DsyG homologue in \textit{Z. navalis} LEGE 11467; DsyG-like proteins in \textit{P. umbilicalis} and \textit{Planctomycetales} bacterium; and DsyD-like proteins from \textit{P. parvum} Texoma1 and \textit{A. monilatum} CCMP3105, were synthesized (Sangon Biotech, Shanghai Co., Ltd.), cloned into the T7 expression plasmid pET-16b and transformed into \textit{E. coli} BL21(DE3).

To measure MSM and/or DDC activity of the DsyGD, DsyG and DsyG-like enzymes, \textit{E. coli} BL21(DE3) expressing these recombinant proteins (Supplementary Table 2) were grown in triplicate, induced by IPTG and assayed for \textit{in vivo} MSM and/or DDC activity in \textit{E. coli}. \textit{E. coli} BL21(DE3) containing empty pET-16b vector was used as a control.

To further study the \textit{in vivo} MSM and DDC activity of the above genes, where indicated (Supplementary Table 2), the \textit{dsyGD}, \textit{dsyG}, and \textit{dsyD} gene homologues were
cloned into the NdeI and EcoRI sites of the wide host range taurine inducible expression plasmid pLMB509[8]. Plasmids were conjugated into the L. aggregata dsyB mutant, that makes no DMSP[39] and/or R. pomeroyi DSS-3 strain (for dsyD clones as it cannot produce DMSP from DMSHB,) using the helper plasmid pRK2013[73], as described in Curson et al.[39]. For DMSP production assays, cultures were grown in YTSS complete medium (in triplicate), at 30°C for 24 h. Cultures were then adjusted to an OD_{600} of 0.3, washed three times with 35 PSU MBM medium, before being diluted 1:100 into 5 mL MBM medium with 5 mM taurine (Sigma-Aldrich). Where indicated, 0.5 mM MTHB or 0.5 mM DMSHB were added as substrate and samples were incubated at 28°C for 24 h before DMSP production was monitored by GC.

**Growth of Zarconia navalis LEGE 11467 under different conditions**

Z. navalis LEGE 11467 was obtained from the Blue Biotechnology and Ecotoxicology Culture Collection (LEGE-CC) from CIIMAR in Portugal[41] and grown with shaking at 25°C in BG-11 medium at 25 PSU (with 17.65 mM NaNO_{3} as a nitrogen source) as described in [66] for 30 days. Triplicate samples were then set up with different salt and nitrate concentrations: standard conditions (25 PSU, 17.65 mM NaNO_{3}); low salt (5 PSU, 17.65 mM NaNO_{3}); high salt (50 PSU, 17.65 mM NaNO_{3}); and low nitrogen (25 PSU, 0.5 mM NaNO_{3}). Sample were collected at 0, 7 and 18 days after inoculation by centrifuging 50 mL of the culture at 5,000 g at 4°C for 10 min. Samples were washed with distilled water, split and used respectively for GC, NMR and RNA isolation.

**RNA isolation from Z. navalis LEGE 11467 and cDNA synthesis**

Z. navalis LEGE 11467 culture pellets were resuspended in 1 mL of RNAlater RNA Stabilization Reagent (Qiagen). The suspension was incubated at room temperature for 5 min, followed by centrifugation at 2,000 g for 5 min at 4°C. The supernatant was removed and the cell pellet stored at -80°C for RNA extraction. To extract RNA, the PureLink® RNA Mini Kit (Invitrogen) was used. Cells were first disrupted using liquid nitrogen followed by addition of 600 µL of lysis buffer and 6 µL of 2-mercaptoethanol
to homogenize cells. The lysate was transferred into a clean tube and centrifuged at 12,000 g for 5 min. Isolated RNA was stored on ice and incubated with DNase I to remove DNA contamination, using the Rapid Out DNA Removal Kit (Thermo Scientific™). RNA samples were quantified in a DS-11 Series Spectrophotometer/Fluorimeter (DeNovix). cDNA was synthesized using NZY First-Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Nzytech) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Samples were kept at -20°C until RT-qPCR analysis.

**Identification and characterization of eukaryotic DSYE enzymes**

BLASTP searches (with a raised E value of $1 \times 10^{-55}$ and ≥ 70% $G_s$ DsyG sequence coverage) were performed against the predicted proteomes of genomes on NCBI and the transcriptomes on the Marine Microbial Eukaryote Transcriptome Sequencing Project [45] (MMETSP, downloaded from iMicrobe (https://imicrobe.us/#/projects/104). Local BLASTP (E value of $1 \times 10^{-5}$) analysis was also performed against NCBI and MMETSP for the DsyD domains (Supplementary Table 3).

All prokaryotic DsyB, MmtN, DsyGD, DsyG, DsyG-like (lacking MSM function) and DsyD, and eukaryotic DSYB, TpMMT and DSYE sequences were aligned in MAFFT version 7 [74] using default settings, then visually checked. The $S$-methyltransferase or the decarboxylase domains of these enzyme sequences were then collected for construction of a Maximum Likelihood phylogenetic trees using MEGA version X, as in [75] (Fig 2 and Supplementary Fig. 4). The maximum likelihood phylogenetic trees were visualized and annotated using the Interactive Tree Of Life (iTOL) version 6.6 [76]. Two DsyD-like proteins were uncovered in *P. parvum* Texom1 and *A. monilatum* CCMP3105 and were analysed for DDC activity, see above. For the $S$-methyltransferase tree (Fig. 2), it was apparent that TpMMT, DsyG and DSYE represented phylogenetically distinct protein families, with the former two being more similar but separated by the two DsyG-like proteins lacking MSM function. The DSYE family of proteins was separated into five distinct taxonomic clades (Clade A-E).

Multiple representative *DSYE* sequences from each of the five clades were codon
optimized for expression in *E. coli*, synthesized (Sangon Biotech, Shanghai Co., Ltd.),
cloned into pET-16b (incorporating *NdeI* and *BamHI* sites for subcloning) and
transformed into *E. coli* BL21(DE3) (Supplementary Table 2, Supplementary Table 4).
These recombinant DSYE proteins were overexpressed and assayed for in vivo MSM
activity (Table 1). Where indicated, these genes were subcloned into pLMB509 using
primers listed in Supplementary Table 10, conjugated into the *L. aggregata* LZB033
dsy*B* mutant and tested for their ability to complement DMSP production.

Quantification of DMSP and glycine betaine in *Pelagophyceae* algae

Axenic *Chrysochromulinafragilis* RCC 6172, *Aureococcus anophagefferens* RCC 4094,
*Pelagococcus subviridis* RCC 4422, *Chrysoreinhardia* sp. RCC 2956, and
*Pelagomonas calceolata* RCC 100 were purchased from the Roscoff Culture Collection
(RCC). *Ostreococcus tauri* was kindly provided by V. Jackson and A. Monier at the
University of Exeter (Supplementary Table 5). Cultures were acclimated at 22°C
under 16 h light (120 μmol photons m<sup>−2</sup> s<sup>−1</sup>)/ 8 h dark prior to DMSP measurements. To
obtain samples for DMSP quantification by GC, 4 mL of culture was centrifuged at
6,000 g for 10 min and the pellet resuspended in 200 μL methanol. Samples were stored
at −20°C for 24 h to allow for extraction of cellular metabolites. The methanol extracts
were transferred to GC vials, and 100 μL 10 M NaOH was added. Vials were crimped
immediately, incubated at 22°C for 24 h in the dark prior to DMSP measurements by
GC. All measurements for DMSP production in *Pelagophyte* strains are based on the
mean of at least three biological replicates per strain tested. For algal cell enumeration,
aliquot samples were sampled and diluted then quantified using a CASY model TT cell
counter (Sedna Scientific).

Cyanobacteria and *Pelagophyceae algae* sample preparation for NMR

6 mL of *Z. nivalis* LEGE 11467 or *Pelagophyceae* algae culture were pelleted at 5,000
g for 10 min in triplicate samples, the supernatant was discarded, and the pellets were
resuspended in 500 μL of deuterium oxide (D<sub>2</sub>O, Sigma-Aldrich). These samples were
 transferred to 2 mL tubes containing 0.25 g 1.4 mm ceramic spheres, 0.1 mm silica
spheres and one 4 mm glass bead. Samples were homogenized for 3 × 40 s with 2 min
interval at speed of 6.0 m/s using the FastPrep-24 5G (FP5G, FastPrep™ system, MP
Pyrazine (Sigma-Aldrich) was added to a 50 mM final concentration and 500 µL samples analysed by NMR for DMSP and GB (Supplementary Fig. 9), as described above.

**Metagenomic analysis of dsyGD presence**

Metagenomes data of *S. alterniflora*, *R. stylosa* and mangrove sediment samples were download from the Chinese National Genomics Data Center GSA database PRJCA002729. Relative abundance of *dsyGD* was analysis as in [77]. Only homologues with ≥40% amino acid identity and ≥70% coverage to ratified sequences were counted and used for analysis.

**Statistical methods**

Statistical methods for RT–qPCR are described in the relevant section above. All measurements for DMSP and DMS production (in bacterial strains or enzyme assays) are based on the mean of three biological replicates per strain/condition tested, and error bars are shown from calculations of standard deviations, with all experiments performed at least twice. To identify statistically significant differences between standard and experimental conditions in Figs 1b, 3a and Supplementary Fig. 5b, a single-tailed independent Student’s t-test (*P* < 0.05) was applied to the data.

**Bioinformatics**

To search for gene homologs in the Tara Ocean metagenome/metatranscriptome datasets, a Hidden Markov Model (HMM) profile was generated based on the amino acid sequences of reported DMSP synthesis enzymes and ratified DSYE proteins (Supplementary Data 1) by the HMMER tools (v.3.3, [http://hmmer.janelia.org/][78]). Hmmer method searching was performed under default settings with a threshold of 1e−30. HMM databases were then submitted to online webserver Ocean Gene Atlas[58] to search against the prokaryote-enriched Oceans Microbiome Reference Gene Catalog (OM-RGC_v2) and eukaryote-enriched Marine Atlas of Tara Oceans Unigenes
(MATOU) dataset using a cutoff e-value of 1e^{-30}. The homolog sequences files, normalized abundance, and environmental data were obtained. Only homologues with ≥40% amino acid identity and ≥70% coverage to ratified sequences were counted and used for analysis. The different methyltransferase protein biogeographic distribution was plotted by R (v. 4.0.3) using scatterpie and ggplot2. Eukaryotic methyltransferase protein abundance was normalized by β-Actin and prokaryotic methyltransferase protein abundance was normalized by recA.

### Availability of data and materials
All sequence data are archived in the NCBI database.
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**Figures**

**Figure 1**

**DMSP biosynthesis genes, enzymes and pathways**

a, predicted pathways for DMSP biosynthesis in some higher plants with MMT and bacteria containing MmtN (left); algae, bacteria and corals with DSYB/DsyB, DsyGD/DsyG, DSYE and/or TpMMT2 (middle); and the dinoflagellate Crypthecodinium (right). b, DMSP production by G. sunshinyii YC6258 when incubated with or without (control) different DMSP synthesis intermediates (0.5 mM) in MBM medium (with 10 mM succinate as carbon source, 10 mM NH4Cl as nitrogen source). Three biological replicates were used for each condition. Error bars represent standard deviation (n = 3). Asterisks denote when DMSP production differences were significant (p<0.05) compared with controls (without intermediates). c, Genomic location of dsyGD/dsyG from bacteria and DSYE from algae. dsyG (S-methyltransferase) is indicated by a pink arrow, dsyD (decarboxylase) by a blue arrow, the translation fusion of dsyG to dsyD by a turquoise arrow, and DSYE by an orange arrow. For Oscillatoria sp. SIO1A7, dsyGD is at the start of the contig. Example single domain DSYE genes from diatoms are included for size comparison.
Figure 2

**Maximum-likelihood phylogenetic tree of DsyG and DSYE proteins.**

The tree was constructed in Mega X using proteins previously shown to have the expected S-methyltransferase enzyme activity in DMSP synthesis pathways or not[1-3], and from this study, together with those retrieved from The Marine Microbial Eukaryote Transcriptome Sequencing Project. Where proteins were multidomain (DsyGD, indicated on the tree), only the DsyG S-methyltransferase domain was analysed here. Ratified proteins from this and previous studies are labeled as ( ), and those which lacked the expected enzyme activity are labeled as (××). Proteins from eukaryotes ( ) and prokaryotes ( ) are indicated with their taxonomy by colour as specified. Organisms with DSYE which also contain DSYB are labeled as ( ). Proteins identified and discussed from previous studies are marked with lavender branches.
Regulation and functional analysis DMSP synthesis by Gynuella sunshinyii dsyGD. a, G. sunshinyii DMSP production and dysGD transcription from cultures grown under different conditions. Standard conditions were MBM minimal medium at 35 PSU and 10 mM NH4Cl, with incubation at 30°C and sampling at exponential growth phase. Three biological replicates and three technical replicates were used for each condition. Error bars indicate standard deviations (n = 3). Significance was determined using a student’s t-test (P < 0.05). b, Growth of the E. coli otsA- mutant strain FF4169 (deficient in trehalose production) and FF4169 strains containing cloned dsyGD were monitored in media containing 0.5 M NaCl and 1 mM GB, and DMSP or DMSP synthesis intermediates (MTHB and DMSHB) where indicated (n=3). 0.1 mM IPTG was also added to induce the expression of cloned dsyGD. c, DMSP production was assayed in selected cells after 36 hours incubation (n=3).
Figure 4

**Distribution of DMSP synthesis genes and transcripts in the Tara Ocean dataset.** (a, b), Distribution of the DMSP synthesis S-methyltransferase genes dsyB, dsyGD/dsyG, DSYE and mmtN in the Ocean Microbial Reference Gene Catalog (OM-RGC_V2 dataset) metagenomes and metatranscriptomes apportioned to bacterioplankton. (c, d), Distribution of eukaryotic DMSP synthesis S-methyltransferase genes DSYB, DSYE and TpMMT in the MATOU metagenomes and metatranscriptomes. All data were divided into surface water layer (SRF) and deep chlorophyll maximum layer (DCM) for MATOU dataset and also mesopelagic water layer (MES) for OM-RGC_V2 dataset. Significant differences (p<0.05) between different water layers were determined by a Wilcoxon test. MetaG, metagenomes data; MetaT, metatranscriptomes data. All prokaryotic genes are normalized to recA gene, DSYE from OM-RGC_V2 dataset were normalized to both recA and β-actin. All eukaryotic genes form MATOU dataset were normalized to β-actin. e, Taxonomic assignment of methyltransferase genes dsyB, DSYE and mmtN in the OM-RGC_V2 dataset. F, Taxonomic assignment of eukaryotic DMSP synthesis S-methyltransferase genes DSYB, DSYE and TpMMT in the MATOU dataset. metaG, metagenome; metaT, metatranscriptome. Note, no dsyG/dsyGD sequences were detected.
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