Limpet larvae (Patella aspera Röding, 1798), obtained by gonad dissection and fecundation in vitro, settled and metamorphosed on crustose coralline algae
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Abstract

The limpet Patella aspera Röding, 1798, is a native species from the Macaronesian region whose fishing is regulated. The early life of limpets, including the settlement process, is poorly known thus far. The current study evaluated different substrates to induce settlement in P. aspera, including microalgae strains (Halamphora coffeeaeformis, Navicula incerta and Pavlova sp.) and crustose coralline algae (CCA) obtained from limpet shells. The results showed that gametes obtained by dissection and matured artificially using alkalinized seawater baths can produce viable larvae able to metamorphose to juveniles. Feeding was not required during larval development, suggesting lecithotrophy. Early postlarvae were identified by the shedding of the velum, and juveniles were identified by teleoconch and active grazing behaviour. The presence of CCA shortened the timing for settlement and increased the ratio of juveniles. The type and abundance of CCA can influence settlement success. Moreover, the results suggested that settlement and metamorphosis in true limpets (Patellidae) might be triggered by a two-step mechanism, i.e. a first cue influencing the shift between swimming and crawling activity and a second cue determining settlement and metamorphosis to early postlarvae and juveniles.

Introduction

The patellagastropod limpets (hereafter referred to as ‘limpets’) are a monophyletic group of highly specialized marine gastropods with worldwide distribution and are usually found on tidal and subtidal rocky shores (Orton, 1929; Blackmore, 1969; Branch, 1981; Nakano & Ozawa, 2007; Nakano & Sasaki, 2011; Espinosa & Rivera-Ingraham, 2017; Sousa et al., 2019b). Limpets have been exploited as a fishery resource for more than 10,000 years (Klein, 1979; Erlandson et al., 2011), either as food or bait (Pombo & Escofet, 1996; Harada et al., 1997; Guallart et al., 2013; Espinosa & Rivera-Ingraham, 2017; Henriques et al., 2017). Currently, several limpet species of commercial interest around the world are threatened by increasing harvesting pressure, which leads to smaller specimens and reduced population sizes (Branch & Odendaal, 2003; Espinosa et al., 2009; Ramirez et al., 2009; Erlandson et al., 2011; Espinosa & Rivera-Ingraham, 2017; Martins et al., 2017), thus contributing to the status of vulnerable or endangered limpet populations (Espinosa et al., 2014; Espinosa & Rivera-Ingraham, 2017; Henriques et al., 2017).

The limpet Patella aspera Röding, 1798, is a native species from the Macaronesian region, which includes the Azores, Canary and Madeira archipelagos (Sousa et al., 2017). The specific status of P. aspera was controversial until molecular studies differentiated the island populations of P. aspera from the continental populations of Patella ulyssiponensis Gmelin, 1791 (Córte-Real, 1992; Weber & Hawkins, 2005). Patella aspera is a living resource of cultural and economic importance (Ferraz et al., 2001; Navarro et al., 2005; Martins et al., 2017; Fernandes et al., 2019; Sousa et al., 2019a, 2019b). In the Azores archipelago, the harvesting pressure caused the collapse of the P. aspera fishery in the late 1980s and contributed to decreasing animal size (Ferraz et al., 2001; Martins et al., 2017). In Madeira, the harvested populations show a reduction in average body size, a higher male ratio, and less energetic investment in reproduction (Sousa et al., 2019a, 2019b, 2020). Moreover, harvesting pressure could be behind the high inbreeding coefficients observed in P. aspera populations from all Macaronesia (Faria et al., 2018). The overfishing led to the implementation of regulation measures to promote the sustainable harvesting of this limpet species by the different regional governments (Ferraz et al., 2001; Martins et al., 2017; Sousa et al., 2019a, 2019b).

Fisheries management requires a good understanding of the life history and recruitment of the target species (Szuwalski et al., 2015). Major efforts have focused on limpet larval production, either by studying the factors that promote spawning induction, with variable success (Kay & Emlet, 2002; Ferranti et al., 2018, 2021; Mau & Jha, 2018; Mau et al., 2018; Nakano et al., 2020), or by using gametes obtained by dissection and artificially matured using alkalinized seawater baths, with consistent larval production (Dodd, 1957; Gould et al., 2001;
Development is brief, since in less than 24 h post-fertilization, limpet larvae can be observed as free swimming trochophores, which soon develop to competent pediveligers ready to settle and metamorphose to juveniles (Kay & Emlet, 2002; Nhan & Ako, 2019; Guallart et al., 2020; Nakano et al., 2020; Ferranti et al., 2021). However, the settlement requirements for limpet larvae are mostly unknown, and feasible methods to obtain juveniles have yet to be explored (Mau & Jha, 2018; Ferranti et al., 2021).

Until now, the identification of adequate settlement inducers for limpets has been a difficult task (Mau & Jha, 2018; Seabra et al., 2019). Field studies have shown that crustose coralline algae (CCA) play a fundamental role as grazing substrates for several limpet species and other intertidal herbivores (Steneck, 1982; Steneck et al., 1991; Pueschel & Miller, 1996; Maneveldt et al., 2006). CCA are among the most widespread and abundant groups of marine algae dominating rocky benthic environments (Steneck, 1986; McCoy & Kamenos, 2015), in which different CCA species compete for space and try to overgrow other CCA species (Steneck, 1986; Steneck et al., 1991; McCoy & Pfister, 2014).

Experimental studies have shown that larval settlement in marine invertebrates, such as corals (Kenkel et al., 2011; Tebben et al., 2015), echinoderms (Kesing et al., 1997; Lambert & Harris, 2000), chitons (Barnes & Gonor, 1973) and gastropods (Roberts et al., 2004; Spotorno-Oliveira et al., 2015), can be triggered by the presence of CCA. Ribeiro (2008) reported an enhanced settlement rate in different patellid species in the presence of CCA. Moreover, the juveniles of several limpet species also occur naturally in environments associated with CCA, i.e. Patella depressa (Seabra et al., 2020), Patella pellucida (McGrath, 1992; McGrath & Foley, 2005), P. ulyssiponensis (Seabra et al., 2019, 2020), Patelloïda mufria (Fletcher, 1988) and Testudinalia testudinalis (as Acanella testudinalis) (Steneck, 1982), thus suggesting that CCA could be involved in limpet settlement and recruitment (Kooistra et al., 1989; Mau & Jha, 2018). Regarding other economically important marine gastropods, such as abalone, CCA was also shown to be an effective settlement inducer (Roberts & Nicholson, 1997; Daume et al., 1999; De Viçose et al., 2010, 2012; Roberts et al., 2010). Nevertheless, the true role and importance of CCA in limpet settlement and recruitment is under discussion. Alternatively, Nhan & Ako (2019) also reported that a combination of different microalgae (i.e. the diatom Amphora and haptophycean Pavlova) promoted the settlement of the larvae of the Hawaiian opifi Cellana sandwicensis.

The main goal of this study is to evaluate different CCA communities and cultured microalgae strains added to the culture media as substrates to induce the settlement of the limpet P. aspera. Additionally, for the first time, a description of P. aspera pediveliger larvae, early postlarvae and juveniles is provided based on developmental and behavioural observations.

**Materials and methods**

**Acquisition and maintenance of the adult specimens**

Adult specimens of the limpet P. aspera were collected by snorked diving on the SW Madeira island coast (Figure 1A) between

---

**Fig. 1.** (A) Map showing the location of the sampling area. (B) Culture cell plates used for the settlement assays. (C) Example of ‘mosaic-like’ CCA community (MSC) used in Assay 1. (D) Example of ‘homogeneous pink’ CCA community (HMG) used in Assay 2. (E) Example of ‘non-dominant CCA’ community (NDC) used in Assay 2. (F) Example of ‘dominant dark red’ CCA community used in Assay 3. Scale bar = 2 mm.
November 2020 and March 2021, coinciding with the peak of the annual reproductive period (Sousa et al., 2017). The specimens were transported to the facilities of the Mariculture Centre of Calheta (CMC, Madeira, Portugal) and placed in 200-litre culture tanks at a maximum stocking density of 30 specimens per tank. The specimens were maintained under the following conditions: open system (water renewal set at 1–2 l min⁻¹), high aeration (O₂ saturation higher than 95%), natural temperature (20 ± 2°C) and salinity (36 ± 1 g l⁻¹). The adult specimens were dissected between 1 and 5 days after capture to obtain gametes.

**Larval production**

The larvae of *P. aspera* were obtained using the methodology designed by Castejón et al. (2021) for this species, which employs oocytes obtained by dissection and matured artificially using alkanized seawater (see Table 1 for details). Briefly, the adult specimens were characterized using the shell length, total mass and female gonadosomatic index (GSI) following Sousa et al. (2017). The gametes were obtained from dissected gonads and pooled in filtered sterilized seawater (FSS). The oocytes were matured artificially using NaOH alkanized seawater (pH 8.4 ± 0.1) for 3 h. Then, the oocytes were carefully washed in a 55 μm mesh and placed in 600 ml glass beakers for fecundation, adding 10⁵ sperm cells ml⁻¹, following Guallart et al. (2020) for the limpet *Patella ferruginea*. The incubation was static inside a controlled temperature room at 17 ± 1°C for 24 h.

**Larval culture methodology**

The larvae obtained after the incubation period (24 h) were free-swimming trophophores, which were generally found swimming near the water surface of the glass beakers. The trophophores were gathered by siphoning the upper two-thirds of the water column of the beakers over a 55 μm mesh to collect the larvae. This step was key to collecting a majority of trochophores with normal morphology while avoiding collecting the debris accumulated on the bottom of the beakers (e.g. debris and non-viable and dead specimens).

**Preparation of the substrates**

This study used two types of substrates as settlement inducers: cultured microalgae strains and CCA. The microalgae strains consisted of two benthic diatom species able to form biofilms: *Halimphora coffeaeformis* and *Navicula incrusta*, which were provided by the Universidad de Las Palmas de Gran Canaria (Canary Islands, Spain), and the haptochyan Pavlova sp., which was obtained from the Roscoff Culture Collection (RCC3458 Pavlova_sp; Sorbonne Université, France). The algal strains were cultured at CMC facilities.

The shells of *P. aspera* are usually colonized by epiphytic encrusting coralline algae (Martins et al., 2014). On Madeira Island, epiphytic CCA communities colonize the shells of two sympatric and congeneric limpet species, *Patella candei* d’Orbigny, 1839 and *P. aspera* (Figure 1C–F; Supplementary Files 1 and 2). Limpet shells were used as CCA-covered substrata due to its ease of manipulation when compared with other substrata (e.g. boulders). Although CCA are a taxonomically difficult group whose biodiversity has yet to be determined in Macaronesia (Sangil et al., 2018), in the present study, the authors followed previous studies performed in other marine gastropods, and taxonomic identification of CCA was not verified (Roberts & Nicholson, 1997; Ribeiro, 2008; De Viçose et al., 2010; Seabra et al., 2019). This study used a morphology-based classification of the different CCA communities. The epiphytic algal communities from the limpet shells were grouped into four categories based on the presence of pink and dark red coralline algal crusts: (1) 'mosaic-like', the shell surface was covered by pink and dark red crusts (MSC; Figure 1C; Supplementary File 1a); (2) 'homogeneous pink', the shell surface was only covered by pink crusts (HMG; Figure 1D; Supplementary File 1d); (3) 'non-dominant CCA', the shell surface was dominated by non-encrusting algal, albeit numerous pink crusts were present (NDC. Figure 1E; Supplementary File 1c); and (4) 'homogeneous red', the shell surface was dominated by dark red crusts (Figure 1F; Supplementary File 2).

Nevertheless, prior to use, limpet shells were prepared to minimize the influence of any potential adult cue on the settlement and to obtain pieces able to fit in the culture cells. First, the soft body of the animal was removed using a scalpel. Then, the shells were placed inside a culture tank under the same conditions as adults for 1–2 days to soften the tissue remains attached to the shell aperture; these remains were removed using paper and water. Finally, the shells were wrapped in paper and broken using a hammer to obtain pieces with a size suitable depending on the purpose of the assays (see Assays description). The shell pieces were washed again and placed in a culture tank until required.

**Table 1. *Patella aspera*. General information of the Assays**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assay</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>SL (mm)</th>
<th>TM (g)</th>
<th>SL (mm)</th>
<th>TM (g)</th>
<th>GSI</th>
<th>OD (oocyte ml⁻¹)</th>
<th>ILD (larvae ml⁻¹)</th>
<th>ILD (larvae ml⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Assay 1</td>
<td>November 2020</td>
<td>4 ± 3</td>
<td>5.5 ± 1.0</td>
<td>4 ± 3</td>
<td>10.8 ± 2.7</td>
<td>13 ± 7</td>
<td>83 ± 38</td>
<td>14 ± 7</td>
<td>6 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assay 2</td>
<td>February 2021</td>
<td>4 ± 3</td>
<td>8.3 ± 1.2</td>
<td>4 ± 3</td>
<td>11.4 ± 2.1</td>
<td>12 ± 2</td>
<td>81 ± 18</td>
<td>25 ± 5</td>
<td>12 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assay 3</td>
<td>March 2021</td>
<td>4 ± 3</td>
<td>9.1 ± 2.5</td>
<td>4 ± 3</td>
<td>13.3 ± 2.8</td>
<td>24 ± 6</td>
<td>202 ± 53</td>
<td>15 ± 5</td>
<td>8 ± 4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GSI, gonadosomatic index; n, size of each adult pool; OD, oocyte density; ILD, initial larval density; TM, total mass; SL, shell length.

Date of realization, male and female general morphometry, oocyte density during the incubation period (24 h), initial larval density during the larval culture period (48 h), and the initial larval density at the start of the assays. Values are shown as average ± SD.
Fig. 2. *Patella aspera*. Identification and behaviour of different early life stages. Pediveliger larvae (A–E; at least 72 h post-fertilization, scale bar = 100 μm): (A) key characters to identify the pediveliger larva; (B) swimming behaviour; (C) withdrawal behaviour; (D) crawling behaviour; (E) resting behaviour. Comparison between the pediveliger larvae and the early postlarvae (F–H; at least 5–6 days post-fertilization, scale bar = 100 μm): (F) early postlarva, note the lack of velum; (G) and (H) pediveliger larvae and early postlarvae, note the lack of velum in the early postlarvae. Juveniles (I–K, scale bar = 100 μm): (I) juvenile, crawling, 15 days post-fertilization; (J) juveniles, resting, 8 days post-fertilization; (K) juvenile, crawling, 17 days post-fertilization. Deformed specimens (L–M; scale bar = 100 μm): (L) pediveliger larva; (M) early postlarva. (N) Empty protoconchs (larval shells) from pediveliger larvae and early postlarvae (scale bar = 100 μm). (O) Empty juvenile shells (scale bar = 100 μm). CT, cephalic tentacle; E, eyespots; EPL, early postlarvae; F, foot; O, operculum; P, protoconch (larval shell); PVL, pediveliger larvae; T, teleoconch; V, velum; VM, visceral mass.
Design of the settlement assays

The assays were performed in cell culture plates (Sigma® cell culture plate, size 6 wells, sterile, treated tissue culture), in which each individual culture cell corresponded to a different treatment (Figure 1B). The initial density of pediveliger larvae is indicated in Table 1. The placement of the larvae in the culture cells marked the start of the assays. The final volume in each culture cell was adjusted to 8 ml FSS. The assays were performed at 17 ± 1 °C.

Assay 1: test of different substrates used as settlement inducers (14 days)

Six treatments with five replicates each were employed: (1) control (CNT) treatment without substrate; (2) ‘mosaic-like’ community obtained from P. candei shell pieces of 68 ± 13 mm² (MSC, Figure 1C; Supplementary File 1a); (3) H. coffeaformis (HAL; 8.9 × 10⁴ cell aggregations ml⁻¹); (4) N. incerta (NAV; 8.6 × 10⁴ cells ml⁻¹); (5) Pavlova sp. (PAV; 9.4 × 10⁴ cells ml⁻¹); and (6) combined H. coffeaformis and Pavlova sp. (HAL + PAV) at the same densities as specified before. The cultured microalgae treatments followed Nhan & Ako (2019) for the settlement of C. sandwicensis.

Assay 2: test of different CCA communities (14 days)

Five treatments with six replicates each were employed: (1) control (CNT), treatment without substrate; (2) ‘mosaic-like’ community obtained from P. candei shells (MSC, Figure 1C; Supplementary File 1a); (3) ‘homogeneous pink’ community obtained from P. aspera shells (HMG; Figure 1D; Supplementary Figure 1b); (4) ‘non-dominant CCA’ community from P. aspera and P. candei shells (NDCC, Figure 1E; Supplementary File 1c); and (5) ‘mosaic-like’ community air-dried for one month to test its use for long-term conservation (ADD; Supplementary File 1d). This assay used limpet shell pieces 240 ± 67 mm² in area.

Assay 3: test of CCA coverage influence on settlement (12 days)

This assay used a CCA community dominated by dark red crusts (Figure 1F; Supplementary File 2), as this was the single community available on P. aspera and P. candei shells collected in March 2021. Four treatments with six replicates were employed: (1) control (CNT), treatment without substrate; (2) small-sized pieces of shells 3 ± 1 mm² in area representing 0.35 ± 0.07% coverage (CCs; Supplementary File 2b); (3) medium-sized pieces of shell 40 ± 10 mm² in area representing 4.25 ± 1.05% coverage (CCm; Supplementary File 2c); and (4) large-sized pieces of shell 316 ± 78 mm² in area representing 33.21 ± 8.15% coverage (CCl; Supplementary File 2d).

Daily monitoring

The assays were monitored daily using a dissecting microscope (Leica M165, Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany) connected to a camera and image analysis software (LAS V4.12; Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany) to count the total number of daily settled specimens (total early postlarvae + total juveniles) in each treatment. In Assay 3, the daily number of swimming pediveliger larvae was counted to calculate the daily ratio of swimming pediveligers (RSP = total swimming pediveligers [in a given day] × total specimens⁻¹ [end of the assay]).

Results

Identification and behaviour of the larval and postlarval stages

The pediveliger larvae, early postlarvae and juveniles were characterized by their major features (see Table 2 for a summary). The pediveliger larvae had a rounded velum forming a cephalic shield with cilia and a pair of eye spots; the shell was a globular protoconch, and the foot had a dorsal operculum (Figure 2A–E). The pediveliger larvae showed four different behaviours: (1) ‘swimming’, characterized by quick sprints or spins propelled by the velum cilia (Figure 2B); (2) ‘withdrawal’, in which the specimen remained withdrawn inside the shell (Figure 2C); (3) ‘crawling’, characterized by the ability to crawl on the substrate using the foot (Figure 2D); and (4) ‘resting’, identified as a withdrawal in which the animal remained in vertical position inside the shell and supported by the foot (Figure 2E). The early postlarval stage showed key differences from the previous pediveliger stage: the velum was absent, and the ability to swim was lost (Figure 2F–H; Supplementary File 3). Later, specimens showed the beginnings of the teleoconch formation. The most common behaviour of the early postlarva was ‘resting’ with occasional
The earliest settlement (early postlarval) was observed at Day 6 post-fertilization in the MSC treatments (Figure 3A). The duration of the early postlarval stage was estimated between 2–3 days after settlement. The juveniles were identified by two key differences from the previous early postlarval stage: the well-developed crescent moon-shaped teleoconch (Figure 2I) and the active grazing behaviour (Supplementary Videos 2 and 3), with frequent deposition of faeces. The faeces were occasionally propelled towards the shell covering it (Supplementary Figure 4a–c). The digestive system of the juveniles was visible through the protoconch (Supplementary Video 4b). Two types of deformed specimens, characterized by detachment of the shell, were observed: (1) pediveliger larvae (Figure 2L) and (2) early postlarvae (Figure 2M).

### Assay 1: test of different substrates used as settlement inducers

The earliest settlement (early postlarval) was observed in the ‘mosaic-like’ communities (MSC) (Figure 3A), with a total of 23 settled specimens at Day 6 post-fertilization. In the N. incerta, H. coffeaeformis and control treatments, one specimen settled on Day 9 post-fertilization, Day 11 post-fertilization, and Day 12 post-fertilization, respectively. Settled specimens were not observed during monitoring in Pavlova sp. and H. coffeaeformis + Pavlova sp. treatments (Figure 3A). The MSC showed signs of slow alteration, such as whitening and growing of fungi and algal biofilms (Supplementary Figure 5a, b).

One-way ANOVA and post hoc tests showed that the ratio of juveniles (RJ) was significantly greater in the MSC treatment (mean ± SD: 0.36 ± 0.16; $F_{2,24} = 18.81$, $P < 0.001$; Figure 3B). In contrast, the RJ in the cultured algal strain treatments was similar to that in the control (average RJ = 0.02 ± 0.02; Figure 3B). Regarding the ratio of deformed specimens (RD), the Kruskal–Wallis test showed barely significant differences ($\chi^2 = 11.64$, $P = 0.04$), which were not supported by post hoc Dunn’s test. Higher RD occurred in Pavlova sp. and H. coffeaeformis + Pavlova sp. treatments (RD = 0.06 ± 0.09 and 0.10 ± 0.10, respectively), while RD was almost zero in the other treatments (Figure 3B). The ratio of live pediveligers (RAP) was lower in MSC treatment ($\chi^2 = 13.11$, $P = 0.022$; Figure 3C).

### Assay 2: test of different CCA communities

The earliest settlement (early postlarval) was observed at Day 6 post-fertilization in the MSC with a total of 28 settled specimens and ‘homogeneous pink’ communities (HMG) with three settled specimens (Figure 4A). Next, settlement occurred in ‘non-dominant CCA’ communities (NDC) with 10 total settled specimens at Day 7 post-fertilization. Settled specimens were observed continuously in MSC and SHL, but their abundance decreased after Days 11–12 post-fertilization (Figure 4A) in favour of empty (dead) juvenile shells. The observation of settled specimens was marginal in the control (CNT) and air-dried treatments (ADD) (Figure 4A). HMG treatments were not analysed statistically since they were removed at Day 5 of the assay (equivalent to Day 8 post-fertilization) because they showed important signs of a fast alteration that could have compromised the entire assay, such...
as decolouration (Supplementary File 5c), water turbidity, proliferation of microorganisms and bad smell. HMG showed high mortality, ranging from 0.37 ± 0.18 (Day 1 of the assay) to 0.94 ± 0.08 (day of the removal; Supplementary File 5d). The ADD treatment did not show clear alterations but high proliferation of microorganisms.

The RJ was significantly greater in the MSC and NDC treatments ($F_{3,20} = 37.78, P < 0.001$; Figure 4B). The RD was greater in ADD, but the differences were not statistically significant ($\chi^2 = 7.17, P = 0.067$). The RAP was significantly higher in the control than in the shell piece treatments ($F_{3,20} = 10.10, P < 0.001$; Figure 4D).

**Assay 3: test of CCA coverage influence on settlement**

The first settled specimens (early postlarvae) were observed at Day 5 post-fertilization (second experimental day) in the medium (CCm) and large shell pieces (CCI), with 1 and 3 settled specimens, respectively (Figure 5A). In the control (CNT) and small shell pieces (CCs), the earliest settled specimens occurred at Day 9 post-fertilization (Figure 5A). The swimming pediveliger ratio was greater and constant in the control, while it tended to decrease in all shell piece treatments (Figure 5B). The CCI treatment was characterized by a notable abundance of metazoans, including nematodes (Supplementary File 6a), polychaetes (Supplementary File 6b), crustaceans (copepods and tanaids, Supplementary File 6c and d) and a midget larva (Supplementary File 6e and f). The CCI also showed alterations over time, including high metazoan activity (reproduction of copepods, accumulation of faeces, and elaboration of tubes as refuges), proliferation of microorganisms and fungal growth (Supplementary File 5e).

The RJ increased significantly with the size of the shell (Figure 5C; $F_{3,20} = 6.43, P < 0.01$). The RD was greater and barely significant in the control (Figure 5C; $\chi^2 = 9.30, P = 0.026$). The RAP was greater in the control than in the shell piece treatments ($F_{3,20} = 10.10, P < 0.001$; Figure 5D).

**Discussion**

The results of the current study proved the suitability of the Castejon et al. (2021) methodology to obtain viable trochophores of *P. aspera* and to observe their development, settlement and metamorphosis when reaching the juvenile stage. Similarly, Ribeiro (2008), studying different limpet species from the UK, employed dissection and maturation in vitro to obtain viable trochophores able to settle in laboratory conditions, e.g. *P. depressa*, *P. ulystiponensis* and *P. vulgata*. Trochophores of *P. aspera* did not show any special requirements to develop into competent pediveliger larvae and could be maintained in glass beakers during development. The exclusive gathering of swimming larvae (either trochophores or pediveligers) from the water column and their posterior placement in clean containers probably contributed to an increase in the number of viable larvae able to reach posterior stages of development. A similar methodology was used successfully for the larval culture of *P. ferruginea* (Ferranti et al., 2021). Previous studies maintained limpet larvae at low density to study their development, ranging from less than 1 larva ml$^{-1}$ (Ribeiro, 2008) to 3–7 larvae ml$^{-1}$ (Seabra et al., 2019), 10 larvae ml$^{-1}$ (Mau et al., 2018) and 10–15 larvae ml$^{-1}$ (Ferranti et al., 2021). For precautionary principles, we also recommend low density (less than 10 larvae ml$^{-1}$) until further studies are performed. The larval-cultural protocol used in this study could facilitate future studies focused on the early life and settlement requirements of different limpet species.

A clear nomenclature is fundamental to avoid misinterpretations among different life stages of limpets and to promote future studies on their biology and ecology. The crawling pediveliger larvae have been previously called ‘settled larvae’ or simply ‘settlers’, referring to these specimens as indicators of settlement (Nhan, 2014; Nhan & Ako, 2019; Seabra et al., 2019), while ‘crawling larva’ was a term used to define the juveniles (Nakanoda et al., 2020). The results of this study showed three distinct life stages related to the settlement process: pediveliger larvae, early postlarvae and juveniles. The competent (or crawling) pediveliger larvae cannot be considered ‘settled larvae’ or ‘settlers’, as they represent an intermediate planktonic-benthic stage able to shift between crawling and swimming (Table 2; Figure 6). Therefore, the term ‘settled’ or ‘settlers’ should only be considered after the shedding of the velum with the consequent loss of the ability to swim, as occurred at the early postlarval stage. Velum shedding is one of the characteristics used to describe the onset of metamorphosis in abalone (Searcy-Bernal et al., 1992; Roberts & Nicholson, 1997; De Viçose et al., 2010) and other marine gastropods.
Limpets in the early postlarval stage develop into juveniles, characterized by a well-developed crescent-moon shaped teleoconch and active grazing behaviour. The larval development of *P. aspera* is short, ranging from 5–6 days post-fertilization for the earliest settlers (early postlarvae) to 10–14 days, when the peak of settled specimens (early postlarvae and juveniles) occurred. This timing is within the range of other limpet taxa, including species of the same genus with 4–15 days (Ribeiro, 2008; Guallart et al., 2020; Ferranti et al., 2021), as well as the genera Cellana with 4–6 days (Mau et al., 2018) and *Lottia* with 6–12 days (Kay & Emlet, 2002; Nakano et al., 2020). Although the timing for development in limpets is specific and temperature dependent (Ribeiro, 2008; Ferranti et al., 2021), the short duration of the larval stages implies a limited dispersal phase, explaining the genetic and morphometric differences observed between the Macaronesian and continental populations (Côrte-Real et al., 1996a, 1996b; Weber & Hawkins, 2005; Carreira et al., 2017).

Previous studies (Ferranti et al., 2018; Mau & Jha, 2018) have recommended the use of microalgae diets to increase the survival and settlement success of limpet larvae. In contrast, Kay (2002) did not observe an improvement in the settlement rate of the limpet *Lottia digitalis* when using microalgae. Our results support the observations of Kay (2002), i.e. microalgae did not enhance the survival and/or settlement success of the pediveligers of *P. aspera*, suggesting that limpet larvae are similar to those of abalone (genus *Haliotis*), which are lecithotrophic animals able to survive several weeks in the absence of food, relying on their yolk reserves (Takami et al., 2000; Roberts & Lapworth, 2001; Moran & Manahan, 2003; Takami & Kawamura, 2003). Several observations support the lecithotrophic hypothesis for the larvae of *P. aspera*. First, the larvae can develop into competent pediveliger larvae without any food source. Second, the larvae are able to swim for 2 weeks in the absence of food, hypothetically at the expenditure of energy from rich yolk reserves. Third, the larvae did not show any clear feeding activity in the presence of substrates, either microalgae or CCA. Fourth, the juveniles showed a shift to active grazing and excretory activity (Supplementary Videos 2 and 3), similarly to the abalone species (Takami et al., 2000; Roberts & Lapworth, 2001; Moran & Manahan, 2003; Takami & Kawamura, 2003). Finally, the digestive system was more conspicuous after metamorphosis to juveniles (Supplementary File 4).

The aforementioned observations suggest that the *P. aspera* digestive system at the early postlarval stage undergoes dramatic changes, involving the development of structures required to ingest and digest particulate food, thus placing the ‘early postlarval’ stage as a differentiated and metamorphic stage. Nevertheless,
After the early postlarval stage, the juveniles of the hypothesis requires confirmation by histological observations. The settlement of the larvae to facilitate the contact with the substrate; the cue 2 is physically attached to the substrate and induces the metamorphosis to early postlarval stage. This model considers two settlement cues: the cue 1 is soluble and induces the sink- ing of the larvae of Patella aspera in accordance with the reduced settlement rate in Assay 3 compared with Assay 1, i.e. ‘mosaic-like’ communities were pre- ferred by the larvae of Patella aspera over communities dominated by dark red crusts. Similarly, the competent larvae of the abalone Halotis iris also presented dissimilar settlement success in the presence of different CCA species (Roberts et al., 2004).

Following the results obtained in this study, we propose that settlement and metamorphosis in limpets are triggered by a two-step mechanism (Figure 6), as suggested for other marine gastropods (Chia & Koss, 1988; Zhao & Qian, 2002). The first step consists of soluble cues that inhibit swimming and induce crawl- ing behaviour (Figure 6). This is suggested by the lower swimming activity of larvae when CCA were included, opposed to constant swimming larvae without CCA. It is accepted that CCA contain GABA-mimetic peptides and that abalone larvae react to GABA and CCA extracts, ceasing swimming behaviour and promoting sinking and crawling (Barlow, 1990). The second step of this model requires a different cue, which is responsible for triggering metamorphosis (Figure 6). If this second cue accumu- lates in certain areas of the substrate, it would explain the com- mon small aggregations of early postlarvae over the substrate (Supplementary File 3), suggesting that Patella aspera larvae may dis- criminate settlement cues at microscale levels.

Supplementary material. The supplementary material for this article can be found at https://doi.org/10.1017/S0025315421000916
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