A new nontoxic *Pseudo-nitzschia* species belonging to the *P. pseudodelicatissima* complex, *P. arctica*, was isolated from different areas of the Arctic. The erection of *P. arctica* is mainly supported by molecular data, since the species shares identical ultrastructure with another species in the complex, *P. fryxelliana*, and represents a new case of crypticity within the genus. Despite their morphological similarity, the two species are not closely related in phylogenies based on LSU, ITS and *rbc*L. Interestingly, *P. arctica* is phylogenetically most closely related to *P. granii* and *P. subcurvata*, from which the species is, however, morphologically different. *P. granii* and *P. subcurvata* lack the central larger interspace which is one of the defining features of the *P. pseudodelicatissima* complex. The close genetic relationship between *P. arctica* and the two species *P. granii* and *P. subcurvata* is demonstrated by analysis of the secondary structure of ITS2 which revealed no compensatory base changes, two hemi-compensatory base changes, and two deletions in *P. arctica* with respect to the other two species. These findings emphasize that rates of morphological differentiation, molecular evolution and speciation are often incongruent for *Pseudo-nitzschia* species, resulting in a restricted phylogenetic value for taxonomic characters used to discriminate species. The description of a new cryptic species, widely distributed in the Arctic and potentially representing an endemic component of the Arctic diatom flora, reinforces the idea of the existence of noncosmopolitan *Pseudo-nitzschia* species and highlights the need for combined morphological and molecular analyses to assess the distributional patterns of phytoplankton species.
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*Pseudo-nitzschia* H. Peragallo is a worldwide genus of planktonic pennate diatoms, which includes a number of potentially toxic species. After the first ASP (amnesic shellfish poisoning) event in 1987 caused by the species *Pseudo-nitzschia multiseries* (Hasle) Hasle (Bates et al. 1989), numerous studies have focused on several aspects of taxonomy, ecology,
toxicity, and physiology of *Pseudo-nitzschia* species (Lelong et al. 2012, Trainer et al. 2012). The increased interest in *Pseudo-nitzschia* biodiversity and distribution clearly resulted in a steady increase in the number of species descriptions (Lelong et al. 2012). Most of the diversity has been discovered within the *P. pseudodelicatissima* complex. The *P. pseudodelicatissima* complex was introduced in 2003 when Lundholm and coauthors emended the species *P. pseudodelicatissima* (Hasle) Hasle and *P. cuspidata* (Hasle) Hasle, and described two similar species *P. caciantha* Lundholm, Moestrup and Hasle and *P. calliantha* Lundholm, Moestrup and Hasle based on morphological and molecular (LSU and ITS) data (Lundholm et al. 2003). Since this first study, different genetic markers have been used for molecular taxonomy of *Pseudo-nitzschia* spp., including the mitochondrial encoded cytochrome c oxidase 1 (cox1; Kaczmarska et al. 2008), the chloroplast-encoded genes of the large subunit of ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase (*rbcL*; Amato et al. 2007, Casteleyn et al. 2009, 2010) and of the small subunit (*rbsS*; Delaney et al. 2011). However, the internal transcribed spacer (ITS) of the nuclear ribosomal operon was shown to be the best species discriminator among all tested genetic markers for *Pseudo-nitzschia* species (Lundholm et al. 2003, Amato et al. 2007, Casteleyn et al. 2008, Kaczmarska et al. 2008). Information contained in the second internal transcribed spacer (ITS2) has been useful to help the delimitation of some cryptic/*pseudo-*cryptic species (e.g., *P. mannii* Amato and Montresor, *P. arenysensis* Quijano-Scheggia, Garcés, Lundholm, *P. hasleana* Lundholm and *P. fryxelliana* Lundholm; Amato and Montresor 2008, Quijano-Scheggia et al. 2009, Lundholm et al. 2012). Currently, the *P. pseudodelicatissima* complex alone includes 16 species (Lundholm et al. 2003, Amato et al. 2007, Amato and Montresor 2008, Lim et al. 2012, 2013, Orive et al. 2013, Teng et al. 2014).

The genus *Pseudo-nitzschia* is known to be distributed worldwide but species biogeography and local phenologies are far from being assessed exhaustively (Hasle 2002). Microscopic observations have suggested that some species seem to be restricted to different latitudinal zones. This is particularly evident for a number of cold-water species, as is the case of *P. turgiduloides* (Hasle) Hasle and *P. subcurvata* (Hasle) Fryxell found in the Antarctic waters (Hasle and Syvertsen 1997, Scott and Thomas 2005), or *P. granii* (Hasle) Hasle recorded in arctic and subarctic waters (Lovejoy et al. 2002, Marchetti et al. 2008). In Arctic waters, in addition to *P. granii*, other *Pseudo-nitzschia* species have been recorded in sea ice, coastal (Poulin et al. 2011) and offshore waters (Marchetti et al. 2008). In particular, six taxa have been recorded in the arctic waters of Alaska, Canada and Greenland: *P. pseudodelicatissima* and *P. fungens* (Grunow ex Cleve) Hasle from Chucky and Beaufort Sea (Booth and Horner 1997, Rózańska et al. 2008, Sukhanova et al. 2009), *P. obtusa* (Hasle) Hasle and Lundholm from Chucky Sea (Booth and Horner 1997), *P. delicatissima* (Cleve) Heiden, *P. seriata* (Cleve) H. Peragallo and *P. granii* from Baffin Bay and Greenland (Lovejoy et al. 2002, Hansen et al. 2011, Hardardóttir et al. 2015). A higher number of species have been reported from the Russian Arctic (Tuschling et al. 2000) and Scandinavia (von Quillfeldt 1997, 2000, Ratkova et al. 1998, Wassmann et al. 1999, Ratkova and Wassmann 2002, 2005, Degerlund and Eilertsen 2010). However, information on species occurrence in Arctic waters is generally based on morphological observations acquired using light microscopy (LM). Given the existence of high level of cryptic diversity within the genus, and the evidence that, even at a regional scale, several distinct genetic lineages can coexist and have different seasonality (McDonald et al. 2007, Orive et al. 2013, Ruggiero et al. 2015), the biogeography and distribution of cold temperature *Pseudo-nitzschia* species needs to be re-evaluated.

In this study, we investigate ultrastructure, molecular and toxicity characteristics of six strains of *Pseudo-nitzschia*, isolated from different regions of the Arctic. One of the isolated strains (P2F2) was previously identified as *P. delicatissima* (Tammilehto et al. 2012) and the other (CCMP1309 = K1142) as *P. cf. subcurvata*. Detailed morphological analyses and the use of discriminating genetic markers (LSU, *rbcL*, and ITS, including secondary structure) suggest that all mentioned strains belong to a new species, that we describe as *P. arctica* sp. nov.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

*Samples and cultures.* A total of six strains of *P. arctica* were analyzed (Table 1). Four strains were isolated from seawater collected in the Beaufort Sea, at 29 m in a station located near the Mackenzie River outlet, in the framework of the MALINA cruise which took place in mid-summer 2009. Colonial strains were obtained through single-cell pipette isolation after seawater enrichment. The media used for the enrichments were 24-fold diluted Keller medium (K/2, Keller et al. 1987) for RCC2517 and RCC2002 and Javorski medium (www.ccap.ac.uk/media/recipes/JM.htm) for RCC2004 and RCC2005. The media were adjusted to a salinity of 30. Both types of enrichments were maintained at 4 °C, in 12:12 light-dark conditions under an irradiance of 10–20 μmol photons · m⁻² · s⁻¹ for 3–4 months before strains isolation. Single-cell pipette isolations were carried out as described previously (Balzano et al. 2012a): samples were observed using an inverted microscope Olympus IX71 (Olympus, Hamburg, Germany) and 1.5 mL from each sample were collected and transferred into a 24-well Iwaki plate (Starlab, Bagnieux, France). A sample aliquot was transferred into a new well containing sterile medium and this step was repeated 4 times for a final 100,000-fold dilution of the enriched sample. Single cells were then collected using a Nichipet EX 0.5 (Starlab), transferred again into new plates containing sterile media and incubated in the same conditions as the enrichment. These cultures are currently (November 2015) available from the Roscoff Culture Collection (http://www.roscoff-culture-collection.org/). They are maintained in K/2 plus Si medium. One other strain (P2F2) was established.
Table 1. Strain designation, isolation site and date, and accession numbers for cultures of *Pseudo-nitzschia* established for this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Strain designation</th>
<th>Isolation site</th>
<th>Station coordinates (Latitude [°N] - Longitude [°W])</th>
<th>Collection date</th>
<th>Accession number (LSU)</th>
<th>Accession number (ITS)</th>
<th>Accession number (rbcL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>P. arctica</em></td>
<td>RCC2002</td>
<td>Beaufort Sea</td>
<td>69.49–137.94</td>
<td>August 2009</td>
<td>JQ995416</td>
<td>KT808253</td>
<td>KT808257</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>P. arctica</em></td>
<td>RCC2004</td>
<td>Beaufort Sea</td>
<td>69.49–137.94</td>
<td>August 2009</td>
<td>JQ995418</td>
<td>KT808254</td>
<td>As KT808257</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>P. arctica</em></td>
<td>RCC2005</td>
<td>Beaufort Sea</td>
<td>69.49–137.94</td>
<td>August 2009</td>
<td>JQ995419</td>
<td>KT808255</td>
<td>KT808258</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>P. arctica</em></td>
<td>RCC2517</td>
<td>Beaufort Sea</td>
<td>69.49–137.94</td>
<td>August 2010</td>
<td>JQ995461</td>
<td>KT808256</td>
<td>KP757864</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>P. arctica</em></td>
<td>P2F2</td>
<td>Disko Bay, West Greenland</td>
<td>69.14–53.23</td>
<td>April 2010</td>
<td>KU212806</td>
<td>KT589421</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>P. arctica</em></td>
<td>CCMP1309 syn K1142</td>
<td>Barrow Strait, Baffin Bay</td>
<td>74.66–95.16</td>
<td>May 1989</td>
<td>As KU212806</td>
<td>AY556482</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

from field sample in Disko Bay, Greenland, in April 2010, using single-cell isolation techniques. The strain CCMP1309 was isolated from a sample in Barrow Strait, Canada in May 1989 and is available from the NCMA collection (https://ncma.bigelow.org/ccmp1309) where it is identified as *P. cf. subcurvata*. The latter two strains were grown at 4°C in L1-medium (Guillard and Morton 2005), at a salinity of 30 under a light intensity of 100 µmol photons · m⁻² · s⁻¹ at a 15:9 light-dark cycle using cool white fluorescent bulbs.

**Microscopy.** LM observations and measurements of live cells were carried out using a Zeiss Axioscope light microscope (Carl Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany) equipped with Nomarski differential interference contrast (DIC), phase contrast (PH) and bright-field (BF) optics. Cell end overlap was measured in colonies observed in girdle view and the percentage of overlap calculated. Pictures were taken with a Zeiss Axiocam digital camera (Carl Zeiss). For transmission electron microscopy (TEM) observations, some samples were treated with nitric and sulfuric acids (1:1:4, sample:HNO₃:H₂SO₄), boiled for some seconds to remove the organic matter and washed with distilled water (modified from Round et al. 1990). Other samples were treated according to Lundholm et al. (2002). Acid-cleaned material was mounted on Formvar-coated grids. All grids were observed either using a Philips 400 TEM (Philips Electron Optics BV, Eindhoven, the Netherlands) or a JEOL-1010 TEM (Jeol, Tokyo, Japan). Morphometric measurements were taken on TEM images. Approximately, 20 valves of each strain were observed.

**Molecular analysis. DNA extraction.** For DNA extraction, 2 mL of culture were collected during the stationary growth phase, centrifuged at 12,800g for 10 min and 1.8 mL supernatant removed. For strains RCC2002, RCC2004, RCC2005, and RCC2517, genomic DNA was then extracted from the pellets using Qiagen Blood and Tissue kit (Qiagen, Courbevoie, France) as described previously (Balzano et al. 2012b). For strains P2F2 and CCMP1309, genomic DNA was then extracted from the pellets using Qiagen Blood and Tissue kit (Qiagen, Courbevoie, France) as described previously (Balzano et al. 2012b). Acid-cleaned material was mounted on Formvar-coated grids. All grids were observed either using a Philips 400 TEM (Philips Electron Optics BV, Eindhoven, the Netherlands) or a JEOL-1010 TEM (Jeol, Tokyo, Japan). Morphometric measurements were taken on TEM images. Approximately, 20 valves of each strain were observed.

**PCR amplification.** PCR for the genes of LSU rRNA, ITS1-5.8S-ITS2 and rbcL. For all PCR reactions, 1 µL of genomic DNA was mixed with 0.5 µL of 10 µM solution of both forward and reverse primers, 15 µL of HotStar Taq Plus Master Mix Kit (Qiagen), 3 µL of Coral Load (Qiagen), and Milli-Q water up to a final volume of 30 µL.

The LSU rRNA gene was amplified and sequenced using primers D1R or D3Ca targeting the D1-D3 region of the nuclear LSU rRNA (Orsini et al. 2002). The ITS region of the rRNA operon was amplified and sequenced using the universal primers ITS-1 and ITS-4 which amplify very small portions of both 18S and LSU rRNA genes and the whole ITS region (White et al. 1990).

The *rbcL* gene was amplified using primers *rbcL1* and *rbcLA7* (Amato et al. 2007) and PCR reactions included an initial denaturation of 94°C for 5 min, 35 amplification cycles (94°C for 60 s, 60°C for 55 s, and 72°C for 30 s) and a final extension of 5 min at 72°C (see Table S1 in the Supporting Information for primer sequences).

PCR amplicons were purified using exospa (USB Products, Santa Clara, CA, USA) and sequences were determined using the Big Dye Terminator V3.1 (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). For sequencing, two additional internal primers, *rbcL1F* and *rbcL1R*, were used (Amato et al. 2007) along with the primers described above.

Chromatograms of the sequences obtained were then analyzed by eye to check for the presence of double peaks and nucleotide ambiguities using Biedit (Hall 1999).

**Molecular phylogenies: Pseudo-nitzschia** sequences obtained from GenBank for each marker (ITS, LSU and *rbcL*) were aligned with sequences of our strains using MAFFT (Katoh 2013) (Table S2 in the Supporting Information), with the QINS-i options, which incorporates structural information. The LSU rRNA alignment (55 sequences) included 943 bp, of which 242 positions were analyzed (26%), after eliminating all positions containing gaps and missing data. *Cylindrotheca fusiformis* Reimann and Lewin was used as outgroup. The ITS rRNA (38 sequences) alignment included initially 1401 positions, of which, after eliminating all positions containing gaps and missing data, 609 positions were analyzed (44%). The ITS analyses were unrooted. The *rbcL* alignment (35 sequences) included 1,509 bp of which 1378 positions were analyzed (91%), after eliminating all positions containing gaps and missing data. *Cylindrotheca sp.* was used as outgroup. Sequences of *P. subcurvata* (Accession number: HQ337586 and HQ337585) were too short (748 over 1,509 bp of alignment) to be included in the phylogenetic *rbcL* analysis but they were used to estimate the diversity between species using number of net nucleotide substitutions per site between species (Nei 1987). All distance and maximum likelihood analyses were performed in MEGA v5.10 (Tamura et al. 2011). Maximum Likelihood trees were built using MEGA v5.10, with 1,000 bootstrap replicates, based on the substitution model selected through the Akaike information criterion option implemented in MEGA v5.10. Bayesian analyses were performed using MrBayes 3.1.2 (Ronquist and Huelsenbeck 2003).
with four chains run for 1,000,000 generations. The temperature was set to 0.2, sample frequency was 100, and the number of burn-in generations was 25% for each data set. Bayesian Inference was performed with the best model selected through the Bayesian information criterion implemented in MEGA v5.10. The number of net nucleotide substitutions per site between species (Nei 1987) was assessed for each marker using the software DNAsp (Librado and Rozas 2009).

Secondary structure of ITS2. The secondary structure of the ITS2 from *P. arctica* strain RCC2005 was predicted using mfold (http://unafold.rna.albany.edu, Zuker et al. 1999) using the default parameters: 37°C, 1 M sodium chloride, 5% sub-optimality and maximum loop sizes of 30 bp. The secondary structure showing the typical folding of the genus *Pseudo-nitzschia* (i.e., four helices and one pseudohelix) and the lowest free energy (ΔG = –81.40) was selected and data were downloaded in dot-bracket notation. The structure of *P. grani* strain RCC2008 and *P. subcordata* I-F was then reconstructed using the ITS2 database (http/its2.bioapps.biozentrum.uni-wuerzburg.de, Wolf et al. 2005) by homology modeling using the secondary structure of *P. arctica* as template. The secondary structures were then visualized and downloaded using VARNA (Darty et al. 2009) to identify compensatory base changes (CBCs) and compensatory base changes (HCBs).

Toxin analysis. Domoic acid (DA) production of strains RCC2002, RCC2004, RCC2005 was screened by an Elisa test kit (Mercury Science, Inc., Durham, NC, USA). Toxicity tests were carried out on late-stationary phase cultures on material harvested from 50 mL culture maintained in the standard protocol (Mercury Science, Inc., Durham, NC, USA). Toxicity tests for general screening of DA production. Toxin positive cultures were tested by an Elisa test for DA production. Toxin negative cultures were tested by an Elisa test for DA production.

RESULTS

**Diagnosis.** *Pseudo-nitzschia arctica* Percopo et Sarno sp. nov. (Fig. 1, A–J; Table 2)

Cells are lanceolate in valve view, linear in girdle view forming stepped colonies with about 1/9–1/12 of cell overlapping. Transapical axis length is 1.6–2.5 μm. Central larger interspace is present. Fibulae are not always regularly spaced. 17 to 24 fibulae and 33 to 39 interstriae in 10 μm. Each stria has one row of rounded poroids, 4 to 6 poroids in 1 μm. Each poroid contains 1–5 sectors or consists of less silicified unperforated areas. The cingulum is composed of three open bands. The valvocopula has 41–48 striae in 10 μm and is 1–3 poroids high and two poroids wide. The second band is one poroid high and 1–2 poroids wide. The second band is split in two parts: one with small variable poroids and the other completely unperforated. The third band is generally unperforated.

**Holotype.** Slide of the strain RCC2005 deposited at the Museum of the Stazione Zoologica A. Dohrn in Napoli, registered as no. SZN-RCC2005/1.

**Isotype.** Fixed material of RCC2005 deposited at the Museum of the Stazione Zoologica A. Dohrn in Napoli, registered as no. SZN-RCC2005/2.

**Illustrations of the type material:** Figure 1, F, H and J.

**Molecular characterization:** DNA sequences for rRNA LSU, ITS and ndhF of strain RCC2005 are deposited in GenBank with accession numbers JQ995419, KT808255 and KT808258, respectively.

**Type locality:** Beaufort Sea, 69.49°N, 137.94°W

**Etymology:** The epithet *arctica* (=arctic) refers to the area where the organism was found.

**Morphology:** Cells are lanceolate in valve view and almost linear with truncated ends in girdle view (Fig. 1, A and B). Cells overlap about 1/9–1/12 of total cell length when forming stepped colonies (Fig. 1A). Valvar transapical axis length is 1.6–2.5 μm, apical axis 26–67 μm (Table 2). Valves are tapering toward the ends with rounded apices (Fig. 1, B–D). A central larger interspace is present (Fig. 1, E and G). The fibulae are not always regularly spaced. The densities of fibulae and interstriae are 17–24 and 33–39 in 10 μm, respectively. Each stria contains one row of rounded poroids with a density of 4–6 poroids in 1 μm (Fig. 1, E–I; Table 2). The mantle is often 1–2 poroids high and has a composition similar to the valve (Fig. 1F). Each poroid contains 1–5 sectors of varying size and lacks a central sector. The most frequent number of sectors in the examined strains is 1–3 (Fig. 1, E–I). In some cases, the poroids simply consist of less silicified areas without any perforation (Fig. 1, E–I). The cingulum is composed of three open bands (Fig. 1, F and J–L). The valvocopula contains 41–48 striae in 10 μm and is 2 and seldom 1 or 3 poroids high and 2 poroids wide (Fig. 1, J–L; Table 2). The second band contains a longitudinal row of variable size poroids and an unperforated part (Fig. 1, J and L). The third band is generally unperforated and has rarely a few scattered minute pores (Fig. 1J). A certain variability was observed among the different strains of *P. arctica*; in particular, the strain RCC2004 has poroids with a higher number of dividing sectors (up to 5) with a central sector rarely present (Fig. 1G), and in the strain P2F2 the valvocopula tends to be slightly more silicified and 3 instead of 2 poroids high, the second band has more defined and larger poroids and the third band is often perforated by minute pores (Fig. 1K).
Toxicity. Toxicity tests on P. arctica strains for DA production were negative for both ELISA tests (strains RCC2002, RCC2004, RCC2005) and LC MS/MS analyses (strain P2F2).

Phylogenetic analysis. Phylogenies based on LSU (Fig. S1 in the Supporting Information), ITS and ITS2 (Fig. 2 and Fig. S2 in the Supporting Information), and rbcL (Fig. 3) showed P. arctica strains clustering together in a well-supported clade (LSU: 99/100, ITS: 99/1.00, ITS2: 99/1.00, rbcL: 100/1.00, bootstrap and Bayesian values, respectively), as sister to a clade comprising P. granii and P. subcurvata.

LSU, ITS, and rbcL sequences were identical for all strains of P. arctica (although the rbcL sequence for...
Table 2. Morphometric data of *P. arctica* compared to species of the *P. pseudodelicatissima* complex and *P. granii* and *P. subcurvata*.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Valve shape</th>
<th>Width (µm)</th>
<th>Length (µm)</th>
<th>Fibulae in 10 µm</th>
<th>Central nodule</th>
<th>Interstriae in 10 µm</th>
<th>Poroids in 1 µm</th>
<th>Sectors in poroids</th>
<th>Band striae in 10 µm</th>
<th>Valvocopula striae: poroids side x poroids high</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>P. arctica</em></td>
<td>This study</td>
<td>Lanceolate</td>
<td>1.6–2.5</td>
<td>26–67</td>
<td>17–24</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>33–39</td>
<td>4–6</td>
<td>0–5 var.</td>
<td>41–48</td>
<td>2 x 1–3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Linear to</td>
<td>1.9 ± 0.2</td>
<td>53.7 ± 13.4</td>
<td>20.4 ± 1.7</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>35.9 ± 1.5</td>
<td>5.5 ± 0.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>44.3 ± 2.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>lanceolate</td>
<td>(s = 61)</td>
<td>(s = 100)</td>
<td>(s = 106)</td>
<td>(s = 106)</td>
<td>(s = 106)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>36–38</td>
<td>2 x 2–4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>P. abrensis</em></td>
<td>Orive et al. (2015)</td>
<td>Linear to</td>
<td>1.7–2.5</td>
<td>66.5–74.1</td>
<td>16–22</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>30–37</td>
<td>4–6</td>
<td>1–4</td>
<td>36.8 ± 0.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>lanceolate</td>
<td>2.0 ± 0.2</td>
<td>18.6 ± 1.3</td>
<td>32.8 ± 2.0</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>5.2 ± 0.4</td>
<td>2.3 ± 0.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>36.8 ± 0.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>P. batesiana</em></td>
<td>Lim et al. (2013)</td>
<td>Lanceolate</td>
<td>1.8–2.2</td>
<td>84–86</td>
<td>15–19</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>29–32</td>
<td>5–6</td>
<td>2–3</td>
<td>40–45</td>
<td>2 x 3–4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lanceolate</td>
<td>2.0 ± 0.2</td>
<td>84.8 ± 1.0</td>
<td>17.0 ± 2.0</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>30.6 ± 1.6</td>
<td>5.5 ± 0.5</td>
<td>2.6 ± 0.6</td>
<td>41.3 ± 1.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Amato et al. (2007)</td>
<td>Lanceolate</td>
<td>2.2–3.0</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>18–23</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>33–37</td>
<td>3–5</td>
<td>2–6</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lundholm et al. (2012)</td>
<td>Linear</td>
<td>1.3–1.8</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>18–24</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>35–41</td>
<td>4–5</td>
<td>7–10</td>
<td>42–50</td>
<td>2–3 x var.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>P. circumpora</em></td>
<td>Lundholm et al. (2012)</td>
<td>Linear</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.2</td>
<td>20.3 ± 1.6</td>
<td>38.0 ± 2.0</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>49 ± 0.4</td>
<td>8.5 ± 1.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>46.6 ± 2.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>P. cuspidata</em></td>
<td>Lundholm et al. (2012)</td>
<td>Lanceolate</td>
<td>2.2–2.7</td>
<td>70.9–88.2</td>
<td>15–19</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>32–35</td>
<td>1–4</td>
<td>&gt;7</td>
<td>40–42</td>
<td>2 x 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lundholm et al. (2012)</td>
<td>Lanceolate</td>
<td>2.5 ± 0.3</td>
<td>79.5 ± 8.7</td>
<td>17.1 ± 2.0</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>33.7 ± 1.7</td>
<td>2.9 ± 1.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>47–53</td>
<td>Split poroids</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>P. fukuyai</em></td>
<td>Lim et al. (2015)</td>
<td>Linear to</td>
<td>2.2 ± 0.2</td>
<td>37.6 ± 8.7</td>
<td>20.6 ± 1.9</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>36.7 ± 1.4</td>
<td>5.7 ± 0.5</td>
<td>2.3 ± 0.6</td>
<td>39–47</td>
<td>2 x 3–4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lanceolate</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.2</td>
<td>77.2 ± 3.3</td>
<td>17.8 ± 1.0</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>32–34</td>
<td>5–6</td>
<td>2.3 (4)</td>
<td>42.9 ± 3.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lanceolate</td>
<td>2.0 ± 0.4</td>
<td>53.3 ± 11.2</td>
<td>16.3 ± 1.0</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>35.4 ± 2.1</td>
<td>5.5 ± 0.5</td>
<td>3.6 ± 1.2</td>
<td>42.4 ± 2.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>P. inflatula</em></td>
<td>Hasle (1965)</td>
<td>Linear–lanceolate/inflated</td>
<td>1.5–2.5</td>
<td>60–100</td>
<td>18–21</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>32–35</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lanceolate/inflated</td>
<td>1.3–1.8</td>
<td>55–75</td>
<td>21–30</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>38–46</td>
<td>6–8</td>
<td>1–3</td>
<td>46–52</td>
<td>nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>P. kodamae</em></td>
<td>Teng et al. (2014)</td>
<td>Linear</td>
<td>2.1–3.3</td>
<td>50.8–86.2</td>
<td>12–16</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>22–29</td>
<td>3–5</td>
<td>2–4 (5)</td>
<td>33–36</td>
<td>2 x 2–3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lundholm et al. (2012)</td>
<td>Linear–lanceolate</td>
<td>2.4 ± 0.2</td>
<td>83.3 ± 5.9</td>
<td>13.2 ± 1.0</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>25.0 ± 2.4</td>
<td>4.5 ± 0.7</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>25.4 ± 2.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cleve (1897)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>100–110</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hasle (1965)</td>
<td>Linear–lanceolate</td>
<td>1.8–2.7</td>
<td>56–112</td>
<td>11–16</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>22–28</td>
<td>3–7</td>
<td>1–2 rows poroids</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>P. lundholmiae</em></td>
<td>Lim et al. (2013)</td>
<td>Lanceolate</td>
<td>1.7–2.3</td>
<td>63–73</td>
<td>16–18</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>28–34</td>
<td>4–6</td>
<td>1–2 (3)</td>
<td>35–40</td>
<td>1–2 x 2–3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Amato and Montresor (2008)</td>
<td>Linear</td>
<td>2.1 ± 0.2</td>
<td>68.1 ± 4.8</td>
<td>17.0 ± 1.1</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>30.7 ± 2.9</td>
<td>5.2 ± 0.8</td>
<td>1.8 ± 0.5</td>
<td>37.6 ± 2.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Valve shape</th>
<th>Width (μm)</th>
<th>Length (μm)</th>
<th>Fibulae in 10 μm</th>
<th>Central nodule</th>
<th>Interstriae in 10 μm</th>
<th>Poroids in 1 μm</th>
<th>Sectors in poroids</th>
<th>Band striae in 10 μm</th>
<th>Valvocopula striae: poroids wide x poroids high</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P. pseudodelicatissima</td>
<td>Lundholm et al. (2005)</td>
<td>Linear</td>
<td>0.9–1.6</td>
<td>54–87</td>
<td>20–25</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>36–43</td>
<td>5.6 ± 0.6</td>
<td>5.5 ± 1.5</td>
<td>47.2 ± 1.3</td>
<td>48–55 Split poroids</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Amato et al. (2007)</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>1.5–1.9</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>20–29</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>34–45</td>
<td>4–7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hasle and Svartesen (1997)</td>
<td>Linear/spindle</td>
<td>1.5–2.5</td>
<td>25–79</td>
<td>12–18</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>44–49</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. granii</td>
<td>Marchetti et al. (2008)</td>
<td>Linear/spindle</td>
<td>1.4–1.9</td>
<td>21–88</td>
<td>12–20</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>49–55</td>
<td>6–7</td>
<td>4–6a</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. subcurvata</td>
<td>Hasle and Svartesen (1997)</td>
<td>Curved</td>
<td>1.5–2.5</td>
<td>47–113</td>
<td>12–18</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>44–49</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>5–6b</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Almandoz et al. (2008)</td>
<td>Curved</td>
<td>1.5–1.8</td>
<td>48–86</td>
<td>12–22</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>43–55</td>
<td>6–8</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aNot in the text but inferred from plate 13, fig. 7a, in Hasle 1964, and fig. 2, in Marchetti et al. 2008.
bNot in the text but inferred from plate 13, figs. 2a and 4, in Hasle 1964.
Data are given as minimum and maximum range (above), and mean value ± SD (below). nd, no data; var, variable.
P2F2 and CCMP1309 were not available). Notably, ITS GenBank sequences of CCMP2093 isolated from the arctic Nunavut, Canada, originally assigned to \( P.\) cf. \( \text{granii} \), is identical to \( P.\) arctica ITS sequences, and should be reassigned to \( P.\) arctica.

Genetic distances between \( P.\) arctica and its closest relatives, \( P.\) granii and \( P.\) subcurvata were small for all markers. \( P.\) granii and \( P.\) subcurvata were more closely related to each other than to \( P.\) arctica. Divergence values were variable among different genetic markers when comparing \( P.\) arctica with the other two closely related species, with LSU showing the lowest values and \( rbcL \) the highest (Table 3).

**Secondary structure of \( rbcL \)**. ITS2 secondary structure of \( P.\) arctica presented the typical folding with four helices plus the additional helix IIa, characteristic of the genus \( Pseudo-nitzschia \) (Fig. 4). Secondary structures were compared among \( P.\) arctica and its sister species \( P.\) granii and \( P.\) subcurvata. No CBCs, two HCBCs and four SNPs (single-nucleotide polymorphisms) were observed between \( P.\) arctica and the closely related species \( P.\) granii and \( P.\) subcurv-
One HCBC is present in helix I (G-U ↔ A-U) and another in helix III (G-U ↔ U-A). Four SNPs were also found in helix II (A:U ↔ U U), helix III (U:G ↔ U U and U:G ↔ U C), and helix IV (A:U ↔ U U for *P. granii* and A-U ↔ C U for *P. subcurvata*). Two nucleotide insertions (GA) were found for both *P. granii* and *P. subcurvata* with respect to *P. arctica* on helix III (Fig. 4) and such insertions changed the folding of the tip of helix III.

**Morphologically, *P. arctica* belongs to the *P. pseudodelicatissima* complex including species having lanceolate to linear narrow valves (transapical axis less than 3.0 μm), uniseriate striae and a central larger interspace. According to this definition, the complex comprises 17 species, *P. arctica*, *P. abrensis*, *P. hasleana*, *P. inflatula* (Hasle), *P. kodamae* S.T. Teng, H.C. Lim, C.P. Leaw, and P.T. Lim, *P. mannii*, *P. plurisecta* Orive and Pérez-Aicua and *P. pseudodelicatissima* (Lundholm et al. 2003, 2012, Amato et al. 2007, Amato and Montresor 2008, Lim et al. 2012, 2013, Orive et al. 2013, Teng et al. 2014). The shape of the cells is very similar among species and size ranges often overlap. A comparison of cell length is affected by the lack of knowledge of the maximum cell size for most species. Species identification is not possible using LM, since it is based on a combination of fine ultrastructural differences, i.e., number of poroid sectors, density of fibulae, striae and band striae, and structure of the valvocopula, which require the use of electron microscopy. Molecular analyses are often required to further support the morphological species identification.
The recognition of *P. arctica* as a new species is supported by molecular data, as *P. arctica* shares a very similar ultrastructure with at least one other species in the complex, namely *P. fryxelliana*. Besides having a comparable number of interstriae (33–39 in *P. arctica* and 34–40 in *P. fryxelliana*), fibulae (17–24 and 17–25, respectively), poroid density (4–6 and 5–7 in 1 μm, respectively) and band striae (41–48 and 41–50, respectively), the two species share a remarkable and peculiar variability in poroid structure. In both species, the number of sectors in the poroids can vary from 1 to 5 even in the same stria. In addition, some striae are simply composed of very lightly silicified poroid hymen without any perforations.

Within the complex, *P. arctica* shows also morphological similarities with *P. abrensis*, *P. hasleana*, *P. mannii*, and *P. plurisecta*. However, *P. abrensis* can be differentiated by having a lower density of band interstriae (36–38 vs. 41–48), and *P. hasleana* a lower density of fibulae (13–20 vs. 17–24). In addition, *P. hasleana*, *P. mannii* and especially *P. plurisecta* have a higher number of sectors in poroids than *P. arctica* (2–6, 2–7 and 3–10 respectively vs. 0–5).

**TABLE 3.** Net average nucleotide distance among *P. arctica*, *P. granii*, *P. subcurvata*, and *P. fryxelliana.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ITS/ITS2</th>
<th><strong>P. arctica</strong></th>
<th><strong>P. granii</strong></th>
<th><strong>P. subcurvata</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LSU/rbc</td>
<td>0.019/0.032</td>
<td>0.007/0.008</td>
<td>n.a./n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.012/0.034</td>
<td>n.a./n.a.</td>
<td>0.133/0.206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n.a./n.a.</td>
<td>0.024/0.041</td>
<td>0.143/0.205</td>
<td>0.024/0.041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. granii</td>
<td>P. subcurvata</td>
<td>0.141/0.205</td>
<td>0.050/0.060</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

n.a., information not available.

The recognition of *P. arctica* as a new species is supported by molecular data, as *P. arctica* shares a very similar ultrastructure with at least one other species in the complex, namely *P. fryxelliana*. Besides having a comparable number of interstriae (33–39 in *P. arctica* and 34–40 in *P. fryxelliana*), fibulae (17–24 and 17–25, respectively), poroid density (4–6 and 5–7 in 1 μm, respectively) and band striae (41–48 and 41–50, respectively), the two species share a remarkable and peculiar variability in poroid structure. In both species, the number of sectors in the poroids can vary from 1 to 5 even in the same stria. In addition, some striae are simply composed of very lightly silicified poroid hymen without any perforations.

Within the complex, *P. arctica* shows also morphological similarities with *P. abrensis*, *P. hasleana*, *P. mannii*, and *P. plurisecta*. However, *P. abrensis* can be differentiated by having a lower density of band interstriae (36–38 vs. 41–48), and *P. hasleana* a lower density of fibulae (13–20 vs. 17–24). In addition, *P. hasleana*, *P. mannii* and especially *P. plurisecta* have a higher number of sectors in poroids than *P. arctica* (2–6, 2–7 and 3–10 respectively vs. 0–5).

**Fig. 4.** ITS2 secondary structure of the holotype strain RC2005 for *Pseudo-nitzschia arctica*. Helices are named according to Amato et al. (2007) (roman numbers). The boxes indicate the structural variations found in *P. arctica* with respect to *P. granii* and *P. subcurvata*. Please note that the ITS2 from *P. granii* and *P. subcurvata* differ only by one nucleotide located on the helix IV, whereas it is identical for the rest of the ITS-2 sequence. Nucleotides which differ between *P. arctica* and the other two species are marked with black background, whereas insertions are noted with gray background.
P. batesiana, P. caciantha, P. circumpora, P. fukuyoi, P. kodamae, and P. lundholmiæ have a lower density of fibulae and interstriae than P. arctica (see Table 2). In addition, P. caciantha, P. circumpora, and P. kodamae are slightly wider and P. fukuyoi is slightly narrower than P. arctica (2.2–3.5, 2.2–2.7, 2.1–3.3 and 1.5–1.9 μm, respectively vs. 1.6–2.5 μm). P. lundholmiæ, moreover, has the poroid hymen generally divided into 1–2 sectors and a lower density of band striae. P. circumpora is characterized by a distinctive pore arrangement in the poroids, with the fine pores in each perforation sector arranged in circles, and the presence of rare biseriate striae together with dominant uniseriate striae.

Pseudo-nitzschia arctica can easily be differentiated from P. cuspidata, P. inflatula and P. pseudodelicatissima because the 3 latter species have poroids divided into only two sectors whereas the poroids from P. arctica consist in a variable number of sectors (0–5). Moreover P. cuspidata and P. pseudodelicatissima have a higher density of interstriae than P. arctica (35–44 and 36–43 vs. 33–39). P. pseudodelicatissima tends also to be narrower than P. arctica (0.9–1.6 μm vs. 1.6–2.5 μm).

Pseudo-nitzschia arctica is well distinguishable from P. lineola which has the lowest density of fibulae, interstriae and band striae among the species belonging to the complex (10.5–15.5, 22–31, 22–34, respectively). Moreover, P. lineola has a slightly atypical stria ultrastructure, having simple poroids organized in 1–2 rows, whereas all the other species have more complex poroids which are always arranged in a single row.

Interestingly, P. arctica is morphologically very different from the phylogenetically closely related species, P. granii and P. subcurvata. The two latter species lack the central large interspace and therefore do not even belong to the P. pseudodelicatissima complex. In addition, P. arctica has fewer interstriae (33–39 in P. arctica, 44–55 in P. granii, and 43–55 in P. subcurvata) and more fibulae (17–24, 12–20, and 12–22, respectively) than P. granii and P. subcurvata.

Pseudo-nitzschia granii and P. subcurvata are ultrastructurally very similar to each other and are only differentiated by a different cell shape, which is however different from the species belonging to the P. pseudodelicatissima complex. P. granii has a spindle shaped valve and rounded apices (Hasle and Svertsen 1997, Marchetti et al. 2008). Pseudo-nitzschia subcurvata has a typical curved valve outline with a dilated middle part, one side of the valve straight or slightly concave and the other side convex (Hasle and Svertsen 1997, Almazdo et al. 2008).

Despite being phylogenetically close to P. granii and P. subcurvata, P. arctica can be considered a distinct genetic unit, as shown by LSU, ITS, rbcL phylogenies and supported by the genetic divergence data (Figs. 2, 3, S1 and S2; Table 3). In particular, rbcL phylogeny indicates that P. arctica and P. granii are two different species. RbcL was proven to be more efficient than LSU in discriminating diatom species and the last 738 bp of the rbcL gene have been proposed for diatom barcoding (Hamsher et al. 2011). In comparison to a nuclear-encoded marker like ITS, rbcL can be more easily amplified, sequenced and aligned. In addition, being a photosynthetic region, it is unlikely to amplify heterotrophic contaminant DNA (MacGillivary and Kaczmarska 2011). The rbcL gene has been previously used for the genus Pseudo-nitzschia. This gene seems to have a high taxonomic resolution since it can discriminate between closely related taxa such as P. pungens var. pungens and P. pungens var. cingulata (Casteleyn et al. 2009). However, the resolutive power of a shorter fragment of the rbcL seems to be insufficient for Pseudo-nitzschia barcoding (MacGillivary and Kaczmarska 2011). The extent of the taxonomic resolution of the full rbcL for the genus Pseudo-nitzschia varies according to the species investigated and can be either higher or lower than that of the ITS (Amato et al. 2007). Our data seem to confirm the phylogenetic and taxonomic value of rbcL within the genus Pseudo-nitzschia, but the efficacy of this marker needs to be assessed based on a larger number of species.

The recognition of P. arctica as a separate species is also supported by the analysis of the secondary structure of the ITS2. The presence of CBCs or HCBCs can be used to infer the existence of reproductive isolation in congeneric species (Behnke et al. 2004, Vanormelingen et al. 2007, Coleman 2009). This approach has been largely used in the delineation of Pseudo-nitzschia species (e.g., Amato et al. 2007, Casteleyn et al. 2008, Kaczmarska et al. 2008, Lundholm et al. 2012, Lim et al. 2013, Orive et al. 2013, Teng et al. 2015). The analysis of the secondary structure of the ITS2 of all our strains of P. arctica shows 2 HCBCs and 2 nucleotide deletions compared to P. granii and P. subcurvata. These differences, especially the HCBC in the helix III of the ITS2 (Fig. 4), suggest reproductive isolation between P. arctica and its two sister species. Although it has been noticed that the presence of CBCs is significantly correlated with mating incompatibility (Coleman 2000, 2005), post-zygotic incompatibility was already observed within the genus Pseudo-nitzschia between two species differing only by HCBCs. P. calliantha and P. mannii, which showed just two HCBCs in helix I and two in helix III, were able to mate but not to form viable auxospores, indicating that a speciation process is in progress due to post-zygotic sexual barriers (Amato et al. 2007, Amato and Montresor 2008).

The morphological and genetic similarity between P. granii and P. subcurvata, including the presence of a single base change in a loop on helix IV, is intriguing. Strains from the same species can have HCBCs in the helix IV as found in P. sabit S.T. Teng, H.C. Lim, P.T. Lim, and C.P. Leaw (Teng et al. 2015), and in general genotypes sharing 100%
identity in the helix II and helix III are considered to belong to the same species. Further investigations, including mating experiments, are required in order to assess whether *P. granii* and *P. subeurvata* are separate species or different genotypes of a single bipolar species.

Despite their morphological similarity, *P. fryxeliana* and *P. arctica* appear in distant positions in all phylogenetic trees. Moreover, the genetic distance between the two species, based on ITS (ITS: 0.133, ITS2: 0.203), is larger than the distance observed between other cryptic, e.g., *P. deliciatissima* and *P. arenysensis* (ITS2: 0.1) (Quijano-Scheggia et al. 2009), or pseudo-cryptic species, e.g., *P. deliciatissima* and *P. decipiens* (ITS: 0.075–0.090) (Lundholm et al. 2006).

The description of *P. arctica*, which is morphologically similar to *P. fryxeliana*, represents another case of a cryptic species within the *P. pseudodelicatissima* complex. Cryptic diversity has been unveiled in several planktonic and benthic, freshwater and marine microalgal genera, including *Skeletonema* (Sarno et al. 2005, 2007) and *Cyclotella* (Beszteri et al. 2007, Evans et al. 2008). Within the *Pseudo-nitzschia* complex, crypticity has already been shown to exist between *P. pseudodelicatissima* and *P. cuspidata*. The two species share identical ultrastructure and only show slight differences in the valve shape, with the former being linear and the latter lanceolate, which become unclear after repetitive vegetative divisions and the resulting reduction in valve length (Lundholm et al. 2003, 2012). However, the distinction between the two species remains unresolved using LSU rRNA (Amato et al. 2007, Lim et al. 2012, this study) and only partially resolved by ITS analyses (Lundholm et al. 2003, 2006, 2012, Lim et al. 2013, Orive et al. 2013). Only the incorporation of the ITS2 secondary structure in the phylogenetic reconstruction allowed to the separation of the *P. cuspidata* strain Tenerife8 from the *P. pseudodelicatissima* clade (Lim et al. 2013). Similarly, within the *P. deliciatissima* complex, *P. deliciatissima* and *P. arenysensis* are distinguishable only by molecular evidences (Quijano-Scheggia et al. 2009). In both cases, differently from what observed in *P. arctica* and *P. fryxeliana*, the cryptic species are phylogenetically closely related.

All these findings point out that rates of morphological differentiation, molecular evolution and speciation are incongruent for some *Pseudo-nitzschia* species, as already demonstrated in other planktonic organisms (Lee and Frost 2002, Logares et al. 2007, André et al. 2013).

A direct consequence of the discordant paces of morphological and molecular evolution is the resulting lack of phylogenetic value for some of the taxonomic characters so far considered important for separating *Pseudo-nitzschia* species. The absence/presence of a larger central interspace, i.e., raphe entire or disconnected by a central siliceous nodule, was traditionally considered an important character for species identification in *Pseudo-nitzschia* (Hasle 1965). Initial molecular analyses suggested this feature could have phylogenetic meaning (Orsini et al. 2002), but this hypothesis has been disproven (Lim et al. 2013) and is further challenged in this study. Similarly, the two morphological features used to circumscribe species belonging to the *P. pseudodelicatissima* complex, i.e., presence of uniseriate striae and poroids divided into sectors, have been demonstrated to be phylogenetically uninformative since the first species descriptions (Lundholm et al. 2002, 2012, Lim et al. 2013). Here, we confirm that the *P. pseudodelicatissima* complex is not monophyletic (Figs. 2, 3, Figs. S1 and S2) and that the morphological characters used to discriminate species in the complex are not phylogenetically meaningful, confirming similar speculations for other diatoms, as for example the *Cyclotella meneghiniana* complex (Beszteri et al. 2007, Evans et al. 2008).

The fact that the intraspecific variability in certain morphological traits is difficult to assess limits the use of diagnostic characters for species delimitation. In *P. arctica*, similarly to what observed in *P. fryxeliana* and *P. hasleana* (Lundholm et al. 2012), the ultrastructure of poroids, i.e., the number and size of sectors in the poroids, has been shown to vary among valves of a clonal culture and even among the poroids within a single stria. Only a few studies have focused on frustule ultrastructure plasticity in *Pseudo-nitzschia* species and effect of the environmental factors on morphological characters (Falasco et al. 2009). For example, temperature affects the number of rows of poroids in *P. multiseries* (Lewis et al. 1993) and the number of rows and the density of poroids in *P. seriata* (Hansen et al. 2011). Similarly, salinity affects the length of the intercellular processes in *S. costatum* (Greville) Cleve and *S. subsalsum* (Cleve) Bethge (Balzano et al. 2011) and plays a significant role in the morphological plasticity of the frustule of *Thalassiosira punctigera* (Castracane) Hasle and *T. weissflogii* (Grunow) G. A. Fryxell and Hasle (Vrielings et al. 2007), and *Cocconemis placenta* Ehrenberg and *C. pinnata* W. Gregory ex Greville (Leterme et al. 2013), with main effects on pore size. The latter observations question the validity of the use of the ultrastructure of poroids for species discrimination and stress the importance of the assessment of the morphology based on environmental samples as culture conditions might induce morphological changes. In this study, *P. arctica* morphology has been described based on culture material. Only slight variations in the number of sectors of the poroids and in the level of silification/perforation of the valvocopula have been observed among the six strains analyzed, which have been grown in different culture conditions and media (one strain has been kept in culture since 1989). Nevertheless, the main morphological features used to define *Pseudo-nitzschia* species (i.e. presence of the central larger interspace, number of striae and fibulae, poroids
density) did not significantly vary among the different strains.

Production of domoic acid. Neither of the strains tested positive in any of the tests for production of DA. Negative Elisa test assays do not exclude the possibility of production DA in very low concentrations or under different physiological conditions. The tests of strain P2F2 was, however, performed using LC-MS/MS and induction of DA was attempted both by exposing the strain to silica depletion and grazing by Calanus copepods. Silica depletion has previously been shown to increase DA production in several Pseudo-nitzschia species (Bates et al. 1998, Fehling et al. 2004), and copepod grazing has been shown to induce DA production in at least two other Arctic Pseudo-nitzschia species, P. seriata and P. obtusa (Hardardottir et al. 2015, Tammilehto et al. 2015). We thus assume that P. arctica is nontoxic, although we cannot exclude that other strains can be toxic.

Biography. Strains of P. arctica analyzed in this study were collected at three distant localities in the Arctic, i.e., the Beaufort Sea, the West Greenland and the Northwest Territories, in different years and in two different seasons (spring and summer). The ITS sequence available in GenBank and identical to P. arctica named P. cf. granii CCMP2093 was isolated from Nunavut, Canada in 1998. These findings question the validity of the Pseudo-nitzschia reports from the Canadian Basin based on LM, especially those referring to P. delicatissima and P. pseudodelicatissima (Horner and Schrader 1982, Booth and Horner 1997, Rózańska et al. 2008, Sukhanova et al. 2009), with whom P. arctica can be easily confused using LM. Our results suggest that P. arctica has a distribution confined to the northern polar area, possibly representing one of the endemic components of the Arctic diatom flora (Balzano et al. pers. obs.). The existence of cold-adapted, geographically restricted ecotypes has been suggested for other Arctic phytoplankton taxa such as the diatom Chaetoceros neogracilis (Schütt) VanLandingham, and the Arctic ecotype of the cosmopolitan polyphyletic green alga, Micromonas pusilla (Butcher) Manton and Parke, the two autotrophic protists identified as Arctic phylotypes based on their 18S rRNA gene sequence (Lovejoy et al. 2007, Lovejoy and Potvin 2011). Within the genus Pseudo-nitzschia, other species appear to be restricted to polar/subpolar waters, i.e., P. granii and P. obtusa for the Northern area and P. turgiduloides, P. subcurvata, and P. prolonegatoidea for the Southern area. P. seriata shows a wider latitudinal distribution, still circumscribed to the northern hemisphere, being recorded from temperate as well as Arctic regions of the North Atlantic (Hasle and Lundholm 2005). The doubtful report of specimens of P. seriata with an atypical stria ultrastructure from the Beagle Channel of Argentina requires further investigation, as pointed out by the authors themselves (Almendoz et al. 2009).

larily, the unexpected finding of specimens attributed to P. cf. subcurvata along the Mexican coast of the Gulf of Mexico (Aké-Castillo and Okolodkov 2009) has been recently explained by the description of a new species, P. sabilit, from plankton samples collected from the Malacca Strait, Malaysia, and the Pacific coast of Mexico (Teng et al. 2015).

A limited number of Pseudo-nitzschia species seems to have restricted distribution in nonpolar areas (Lelong et al. 2012). However, assessment of the distributional patterns of cryptic and/or recently described Pseudo-nitzschia species will require the use of combined morphological and molecular analyses on material collected in different study areas, including the tropical regions, which are characterized by a high species diversity (Lim et al. 2012, 2013, Teng et al. 2014). Based on the available information, a worldwide distribution seems to be common to most of Pseudo-nitzschia species. Cosmopolitism was tentatively proposed for most DA-producing taxa (Hasle 2002), has been confirmed for many species after the introduction of molecular approaches in Pseudo-nitzschia identification (Lelong et al. 2012). Notably, the use of more variable molecular markers can reveal biogeographic patterns within cosmopolitan species, at the intraspecific level. Distinct distribution patterns characterize the three ITS clades of P. pungens which correspond to the morphological varieties P. pungens var. pungens, P. pungens var. cingulata and P. pungens var. aveirensis (Casteleyn et al. 2008, 2009, Churro et al. 2009, Lim et al. 2014). Microsatellite analyses showed that P. pungens var. pungens has a significant geographic genetic structuring with very restricted gene flow between the different geographic populations (Casteleyn et al. 2010). In P. pungens, different physiological features are correlated with morphological and genetic intraspecific differentiation (Kim et al. 2015).

Our observations reinforce the idea of the existence of noncosmopolitan Pseudo-nitzschia species, as already suggested by the distribution patterns of other cold-water species.

The incongruity shown here between taxonomy based on morphological characters and molecular phylogeny complicate the study of the genus Pseudo-nitzschia but makes Pseudo-nitzschia a genus suitable to address interesting questions on speciation and evolution in diatoms.
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